

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

Annual report under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

Transition report under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-32624

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

(Name of Small Business Issuer in Its Charter)

**Colorado**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

**84-0811034**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

609 Castle Ridge Road, Suite 335  
Austin, Texas 78746  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(512) 579-3560  
(Issuer's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Securities registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act:  
(None)

Securities registered under Section 12(g) of the Exchange Act:

Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value  
Title of Class

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act  
 Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act.

**Note** – Checking the box above will not relieve any registrant required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act from their obligations under those Sections.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [ x ]  
No [ ]

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. [ X ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [ X ] No [ ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer [ ]

Accelerated filer [ ]

Non-accelerated filer [ ] (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company [ X ]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes \_\_\_ No X

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold, or the average bid and asked price of such common equity, as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second quarter, was \$5,842,222.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of March 25, 2016 is 8,890,101.

List hereunder the following documents if incorporated by reference and the Part of the Form 10-K (*e.g.*, Part I, Part II, etc.) into which the document is incorporated: (1) Any annual report to security holders; (2) Any proxy or information statement; and (3) Any prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) or (c) under the Securities Act of 1933. The listed documents should be clearly described for identification purposes

### **Exhibits**

See Part IV, Item 15.

## PART I

### SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this Form 10-K constitute “forward-looking statements” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act and Section 27A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. All statements, other than statements of historical facts, included in this Form 10-K that address activities, events or developments that FieldPoint Petroleum Corp. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company", “we”, “us”, “our” or “ours”) expects, projects, believes or anticipates will or may occur in the future, including such matters as oil and natural gas reserves, future drilling and operations, future production of oil and natural gas, future net cash flows, future capital expenditures and other such matters, are forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to be materially different from any future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include, among others, the following: the volatility of oil and natural gas prices, the Company’s drilling and acquisition results, the Company’s ability to replace reserves, the availability of capital resources, the reliance upon estimates of proved reserves, operating hazards and uninsured risks, competition, government regulation, the ability of the Company to implement its business strategy and other factors referenced in this Form 10-K.

### ITEM 1- BUSINESS

#### General

FieldPoint Petroleum Corporation, a Colorado corporation (the “Company”), was formed on March 11, 1980, to acquire and enhance mature oil and natural gas field production in the mid-continent and the Rocky Mountain regions. Since 1980, the Company had engaged in oil and natural gas operations and, in 1986, divested all oil and natural gas assets and operations. From December 1986, until its reverse acquisition on December 31, 1997, the Company did not engage in oil and natural gas operations. Since the reverse acquisition on December 31, 1997 the Company has been in the oil and natural gas exploration and production business.

#### Business Strategy

The Company’s business strategy is to continue to expand its reserve base and increase production and cash flow through the acquisition of producing oil and natural gas properties. Such acquisitions will be based on an analysis of the properties' current cash flow and the Company's ability to profit from the acquisition. The Company's ideal acquisition will include not only oil and natural gas production, but also leasehold and other working interests in exploration areas.

The Company will also seek to identify promising areas for the exploration of oil and natural gas through the use of outside consultants and the expertise of the Company. This identification will include collecting and analyzing geological and geophysical data for exploration areas. Once promising properties are identified, the Company will attempt to acquire the properties either for drilling oil and natural gas wells, using independent contractors for drilling operations, or for sale to third parties.

The Company recognizes that the ability to implement its business strategies is largely dependent on the ability to raise additional debt or equity capital to fund future acquisition, exploration, drilling and development activities. The Company's capital resources are discussed more thoroughly in Part II, Item 7, in Management's Discussion and Analysis.

## **Operations**

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had varying ownership interest in 472 gross wells (113.26 net) located in five states. The Company operates 18 of the 472 wells; the other wells are operated by independent operators under contracts that are standard in the industry. It is a primary objective of the Company to operate some of the oil and natural gas properties in which it has an economic interest, and the Company will also partner with larger oil and natural gas companies to operate certain oil and natural gas properties in which the Company has an economic interest. The Company believes, with the responsibility and authority as operator, it is in a better position to control cost, safety, and timeliness of work as well as other critical factors affecting the economics of a well.

## **Market for Oil and Natural Gas**

The demand for oil and natural gas is dependent upon a number of factors, including the availability of other domestic production, crude oil imports, the proximity and size of oil and natural gas pipelines in general, other transportation facilities, the marketing of competitive fuels, and general fluctuations in the supply and demand for oil and natural gas. The Company intends to sell all of its production to traditional industry purchasers, such as pipeline and crude oil companies, who have facilities to transport the oil and natural gas from the well site.

## **Competition**

The oil and natural gas industry is highly competitive in all aspects. The Company competes with major oil companies, numerous independent oil and natural gas producers, individual proprietors, and investment programs. Many of these competitors possess financial and personnel resources substantially in excess of those which are available to the Company and may, therefore, be able to pay greater amounts for desirable leases and define, evaluate, bid for and purchase a greater number of potential producing prospects that the Company's own resources permit. The Company's ability to generate resources will depend not only on its ability to develop existing properties but also on its ability to identify and acquire proven and unproven acreage and prospects for further exploration.

## **Hydraulic Fracturing**

Hydraulic fracturing is an important process and has been commonly used in the completion of unconventional oil and gas wells in shale and tight sand formations since the 1950s. Hydraulic fracturing involves the injection of water, sand and chemical additives under pressure into rock formations to stimulate oil and gas production. It is important to us because it provides access to oil and gas reserves that previously were uneconomical to produce.

We currently use hydraulic fracturing to complete both horizontal and vertical wells in the Permian Basin. We engage third parties to provide hydraulic fracturing services to us for completion of these wells. While hydraulic fracturing is not required to maintain our leasehold acreage that is currently held by production from existing wells, it will likely be required in the future to develop the proved non-producing and proved undeveloped reserves associated with this acreage. All of our proved non-producing and proved

undeveloped reserves associated with future drilling, completion and recompletion projects will probably require hydraulic fracturing.

We believe we have followed, and intend to continue to follow, applicable industry standard practices and legal requirements for groundwater protection in our operations that are subject to supervision by state regulators.

These protective measures include setting surface casing at a depth sufficient to protect fresh water zones as determined by applicable state regulatory agencies, and cementing the well to create a permanent isolating barrier between the casing pipe and surrounding geological formations. This aspect of well design is intended to prevent contact between the fracturing fluid and any aquifers during the hydraulic fracturing operations. For recompletions of existing wells, the production casing is pressure-tested before perforating the new completion interval.

Injection rates and pressures are monitored at the surface during our hydraulic fracturing operations. Pressure is monitored on both the injection string and the immediate annulus to the injection string. We believe we have adequate procedures in place to address abrupt changes to the injection pressure or annular pressure.

Texas regulations currently require disclosure of the components in the solutions used in hydraulic fracturing operations. Over 99% (by mass) of the ingredients we use in hydraulic fracturing are water and sand. The remainder of the ingredients are chemical additives that are managed and used in accordance with applicable requirements.

Hydraulic fracturing requires the use of a significant amount of water. Upon flowback of the water, we dispose of it in a way that we believe minimizes the impact to nearby surface water by disposing into approved disposal facilities or injection wells. Currently our primary sources of water are nonpotable and potable aquifers. We use water from on-lease water wells that we have drilled, and we purchase water from off-lease water wells. We also plan to reuse and recycle flow-back and produced water in 2016.

### **Operational Hazards and Insurance**

The Company's operations are subject to the usual hazards incident to the drilling and production of oil and natural gas, such as blowouts, cratering, explosions, uncontrollable flows of oil, natural gas or well fluids, fires, pollution, releases of toxic gas and other environmental hazards and risks. These hazards can cause personal injury and loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment, pollution or environmental damage and suspension of operations.

The Company maintains insurance of various types to cover its operations. The Company's insurance does not cover every potential risk associated with the drilling and production of oil and natural gas. In particular, coverage is not obtainable for certain types of environmental hazards. The occurrence of a significant adverse event, the risks of which are not fully covered by insurance, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, no assurance can be given that the Company will be able to maintain adequate insurance in the future at rates it considers reasonable.

### **Regulation**

The oil and gas industry in the United States is subject to extensive regulation by federal, state and local authorities. At the federal level, various federal rules, regulations and procedures apply, including those

issued by the U.S. Department of Interior, the U.S. Department of Transportation (the “DOT”) (Office of Pipeline Safety) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the “EPA”). At the state and local level, various agencies and commissions regulate drilling, production and midstream activities. These federal, state and local authorities have various permitting, licensing and bonding requirements. Various remedies are available for enforcement of these federal, state and local rules, regulations and procedures, including fines, penalties, revocation of permits and licenses, actions affecting the value of leases, wells or other assets, and suspension of production. As a result, there can be no assurance that we will not incur liability for fines, penalties or other remedies that are available to these federal, state and local authorities. However, we believe that we are currently in material compliance with federal, state and local rules, regulations and procedures, and that continued substantial compliance with existing requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

### ***Transportation and Sale of Oil***

Sales of crude oil and condensate are not currently regulated and are made at negotiated prices. Our sales of crude oil are affected by the availability, terms and cost of transportation. Interstate transportation of oil by pipeline is regulated by the Federal Energy Regulation Commission (“FERC”) pursuant to the Interstate Commerce Act (“ICA”), Energy Policy Act of 1992 (“EPAct 1992”), and the rules and regulations promulgated under those laws. The ICA and its regulations require that tariff rates for interstate service on oil pipelines, including interstate pipelines that transport crude oil and refined products, be just and reasonable and non-discriminatory and that such rates, terms and conditions of service be filed with FERC.

Intrastate oil pipeline transportation rates are also subject to regulation by state regulatory commissions. The basis for intrastate oil pipeline regulation, and the degree of regulatory oversight and scrutiny given to intrastate oil pipeline rates, varies from state-to-state. Insofar as effective interstate and intrastate rates are equally applicable to all comparable shippers, we believe that the regulation of oil transportation rates will not affect our operations in any way that is of material difference from those of our competitors who are similarly situated.

Further, interstate and intrastate common carrier oil pipelines must provide service on a non-discriminatory basis. Under this open access standard, common carriers must offer service to all similarly situated shippers requesting service on the same terms and under the same rates. When oil pipelines operate at full capacity, access is governed by prorationing provisions set forth in the pipelines’ published tariffs. Accordingly, we believe that access to oil pipeline transportation services generally will be available to us to the same extent as to our similarly situated competitors.

The transportation of oil by truck is also subject to federal, state and local rules and regulations, including the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Act and the Homeland Security Act of 2002. Regulations under these statutes cover the security and transportation of hazardous materials and are administered by the DOT.

### ***Transportation and Sale of Natural Gas and NGLs***

FERC regulates interstate gas pipeline transportation rates and service conditions under the Natural Gas Act of 1938, the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 and regulations issued under those statutes. FERC also regulates interstate NGL pipelines under various federal laws and regulations. Although FERC does not regulate oil and gas producers such as us, FERC’s actions are intended to facilitate increased competition within all phases of the oil and gas industry and its regulation of third-party pipelines and facilities could indirectly affect our ability to transport or market our production. To date, FERC’s policies have not materially affected our business or operations.

### ***Regulation of Production***

Oil, NGL and gas production is regulated under a wide range of federal and state statutes, rules, orders and regulations. State and federal statutes and regulations require permits for drilling operations, drilling bonds and reports concerning operations. The states in which we operate, have regulations governing conservation matters, including provisions for the unitization or pooling of oil and gas properties, the establishment of maximum rates of production from oil and gas wells, the regulation of spacing, and requirements for plugging and abandonment of wells. Also, some states, including Texas imposes a severance tax on production and sales of oil, NGLs and gas within its jurisdiction. The failure to comply with these rules and regulations can result in substantial penalties. Our competitors in the oil and gas industry are subject to the same regulatory requirements and restrictions that affect our operations.

### ***Environmental Laws and Regulations***

In the United States, the exploration for and development of oil and gas and the drilling and operation of wells, fields and gathering systems are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations governing environmental protection as well as discharge of materials into the environment. These laws and regulations may, among other things:

- require the acquisition of various permits before drilling begins;
- require the installation of expensive pollution controls or emissions monitoring equipment;
- restrict the types, quantities and concentration of various substances that can be released into the environment in connection with oil and gas drilling, completion, production, transportation and processing activities;
- suspend, limit or prohibit construction, drilling and other activities in certain lands lying within wilderness, wetlands, endangered species habitat, and other protected areas; and
- require remedial measures to mitigate and remediate pollution from historical and ongoing operations, such as the closure of waste pits and plugging of abandoned wells.

These laws, rules and regulations may also restrict the rate of oil and gas production below the rate that would otherwise be possible. The regulatory burden on the oil and gas industry increases the cost of doing business in the industry and consequently affects profitability.

Governmental authorities have the power to enforce compliance with environmental laws, regulations and permits, and violations are subject to injunction, as well as administrative, civil and criminal penalties. The effects of existing and future laws and regulations could have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition and results of operations. The clear trend in environmental regulation is to place more restrictions and limitations on activities that may affect the environment. Any changes in environmental laws and regulations or re-interpretations of enforcement policies that result in more stringent and costly waste handling, storage, transport, disposal or remediation requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Moreover, accidental releases or spills and ground water contamination may occur in the course of our operations, and we may incur significant costs and liabilities as a result of such releases, spills or contamination, including any third-party claims for damage to property, natural resources or persons. We maintain insurance against costs of clean-up operations, but we are not fully insured against all such risks. While we believe that we

are in substantial compliance with existing environmental laws and regulations and that continued compliance with current requirements would not have a material adverse effect on us, there is no assurance that this will continue in the future.

The following is a summary of some of the existing environmental laws, rules and regulations that apply to our business operations.

### ***Hazardous Substance Release***

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 (“CERCLA”), also known as the Superfund law, and comparable state statutes impose strict liability, and under certain circumstances, joint and several liability, on classes of persons who are considered to be responsible for the release of a hazardous substance into the environment. These persons include the owner or operator of the site where the release occurred, and anyone who disposed or arranged for the disposal of a hazardous substance released at the site. Under CERCLA, such persons may be subject to strict, joint and several liabilities for the costs of investigating releases of hazardous substances, cleaning up the hazardous substances that have been released into the environment, for damages to natural resources and for the costs of certain health studies. In addition, it is not uncommon for neighboring landowners and other third-parties to file claims for personal injury and property damage allegedly caused by the hazardous substances released into the environment. While we generate materials in the course of our operations that may be regulated as hazardous substances, we have not received notification that we may be potentially responsible for cleanup costs under CERCLA.

### ***Waste Handling***

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (“RCRA”) and comparable state statutes regulate the generation, transportation, treatment, storage, disposal and cleanup of hazardous and non-hazardous wastes. Under the auspices of the EPA, the individual states administer some or all of the provisions of RCRA, sometimes in conjunction with their own, more stringent requirements. Drilling fluids, produced water and most of the other wastes associated with the exploration, development and production of oil or gas are currently regulated under RCRA’s non-hazardous waste provisions. However, it is possible that certain oil and gas exploration and production wastes now classified as non-hazardous could be classified as hazardous wastes in the future. Any such change could increase our operating expenses, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We currently own or lease properties that for many years have been used for oil and gas exploration, production and development activities. Although we used operating and disposal practices that were standard in the industry at the time, petroleum hydrocarbons or wastes may have been disposed of or released on, under or from the properties owned or leased by us or on, under or from other locations where such wastes have been taken for disposal. In addition, some of these properties have been operated by third parties whose treatment and disposal or release of petroleum hydrocarbons and wastes was not under our control. These properties and the materials disposed or released on, at, under or from them may be subject to CERCLA, RCRA and analogous state laws. Under such laws, we could be required to remove or remediate previously disposed wastes or contamination, or to perform remedial activities to prevent future contamination.

## ***Air Emissions***

The federal Clean Air Act and comparable state laws regulate emissions of various air pollutants through air emissions permitting programs and other requirements. In addition, the EPA has developed, and continues to develop, stringent regulations governing emissions at specified sources. In particular, on April 18, 2012, the EPA issued new regulations under the New Source Performance Standards (“NSPS”) and National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (“NESHAP”). The new regulations are designed to reduce volatile organic compound (“VOC”) emissions from hydraulically-fractured natural gas wells, storage tanks and other equipment. The regulations established a phase-in period that extended until January 2015. During the phase-in period, owners and operators of hydraulically-fractured natural gas wells (wells drilled principally for the production of natural gas) were required to either flare their emissions or use so-called “green completion” technology. Green completions allow for the recovery of natural gas that formerly would have been vented or flared. Beginning January 1, 2015, all newly fractured natural gas wells must use green completion technology. We do not expect that the NSPS or NESHAP will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. However, any future laws and their implementing regulations, may require us to obtain pre-approval for the expansion or modification of existing facilities or the construction of new facilities expected to produce air emissions, impose stringent air permit requirements or use specific equipment or technologies to control emissions. Our failure to comply with these requirements could subject us to monetary penalties, injunctions, conditions or restrictions on operations and, potentially, criminal enforcement actions. We believe that we currently are in substantial compliance with all air emissions regulations and that we hold all necessary and valid construction and operating permits for our current operations.

## ***Greenhouse Gas Emissions***

Congress has, from time-to-time, considered legislation to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases (“GHGs”). The current Congress is likely to continue to consider similar bills. Moreover, almost half of the states have already taken legal measures to reduce emissions of GHGs through the planned development of GHG emission inventories and/or regional GHG cap-and-trade programs or other mechanisms. Most cap-and-trade programs work by requiring major sources of emissions, such as electric power plants, or major producers of fuels such as refineries and gas processing plants, to acquire and surrender emission allowances corresponding with their annual emissions of GHGs. The number of allowances available for purchase is reduced each year until the overall GHG emission reduction goal is achieved. As the number of GHG emission allowances declines each year, the cost or value of allowances is expected to escalate significantly. Many states have enacted renewable portfolio standards, which require utilities to purchase a certain percentage of their energy from renewable fuel sources.

In response to the findings that emissions of carbon dioxide, methane and other GHGs present an endangerment to human health and the environment, the EPA has adopted regulations under existing provisions of the federal Clean Air Act. The EPA has adopted two sets of rules regarding possible future regulation of GHG emissions under the Clean Air Act, one of which purports to regulate emissions of GHGs from motor vehicles and the other of which would regulate emissions of GHGs from large stationary sources of emissions such as power plants or industrial facilities. The motor vehicle rule was finalized in April 2010 and became effective in January 2011, but it does not require immediate reductions in GHG emissions. In March 2012, the EPA proposed GHG emissions standards for fossil fuel-powered electric utility generating units that would require new plants to meet an output-based standard of 1,000 pounds of carbon dioxide equivalent per megawatt-hour. If the proposed regulation is adopted, it could have a significant impact on the electrical generation industry and may favor the use of natural gas over other fossil fuels such as coal in new plants. The EPA has also indicated that it will

propose new GHG emissions standards for refineries, but we do not know when the agency will issue any regulations.

In December 2010, the EPA enacted final rules on mandatory reporting of GHGs. In 2011, the EPA published amendments to the rule containing technical and clarifying changes to certain GHG reporting requirements and a six-month extension for reporting GHG emissions from petroleum and natural gas industry sources. Under the amended rule, certain onshore oil and natural gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution facilities are required to report their GHG emissions on an annual basis. We do not expect that the EPA's mandatory GHG reporting requirements will have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

The adoption of additional legislation or regulatory programs to monitor or reduce GHG emissions could require us to incur increased operating costs, such as costs to purchase and operate emissions control systems, acquire emissions allowances or comply with new regulatory requirements. In addition, the EPA has stated that the data collected from GHG emissions reporting programs may be the basis for future regulatory action to establish substantive GHG emissions factors. Any GHG emissions legislation or regulatory programs applicable to power plants or refineries could increase the cost of consuming, and thereby reduce demand for, the oil and natural gas we produce. Consequently, legislation and regulatory programs to reduce GHG emissions could have an adverse effect on our future business, financial condition and results of operations.

### ***Water Discharges***

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act (the "Clean Water Act") and analogous state laws, impose restrictions and strict controls on the discharge of pollutants and fill material, including spills and leaks of oil and other substances into regulated waters, including wetlands. The discharge of pollutants into regulated waters is prohibited, except in accordance with the terms of a permit issued by the EPA, an analogous state agency, or, in the case of fill material, the United States Army Corps of Engineers. Federal and state regulatory agencies can impose administrative, civil and criminal penalties for non-compliance with discharge permits or other requirements of the Clean Water Act and analogous state laws and regulations.

In October 2011, the EPA announced that it intends to develop national standards for wastewater discharges produced by natural gas extraction from shale and coalbed methane formations. The EPA is expected to issue proposed regulations establishing wastewater discharge standards for coalbed methane wastewater and for shale gas wastewater in 2015. For shale gas wastewater, the EPA will consider imposing pre-treatment standards for discharges to a wastewater treatment facility. Produced and other flowback water from our current operations is typically re-injected into underground formations that do not contain potable water. To the extent that re-injection is not available for our operations and discharge to wastewater treatment facilities is required, new standards from the EPA could increase the cost of disposing wastewater in connection with our operations.

### ***The Safe Drinking Water Act, Groundwater Protection and the Underground Injection Control Program***

The federal Safe Drinking Water Act ("SDWA") and the Underground Injection Control program (the "UIC program") promulgated under the SDWA and state programs regulate the drilling and operation of salt water disposal wells. The EPA has delegated administration of the UIC program in Texas to the Railroad Commission of Texas ("RRC"). Permits must be obtained before drilling salt water disposal wells, and casing integrity monitoring must be conducted periodically to ensure the casing is not leaking

saltwater to groundwater. Contamination of groundwater by oil and gas drilling, production and related operations may result in fines, penalties and remediation costs, among other sanctions and liabilities under the SDWA and state laws. In addition, third-party claims may be filed by landowners and other parties claiming damages for alternative water supplies, property damages and bodily injury.

### ***Hydraulic Fracturing***

Hydraulic fracturing is the subject of significant focus among some environmentalists, regulators and the general public. Concerns over potential hazards associated with the use of hydraulic fracturing and its impact on the environment have been raised at all levels, including federal, state and local, as well as internationally. There have been claims that hydraulic fracturing may contaminate groundwater, reduce air quality or cause earthquakes. Hydraulic fracturing requires the use and disposal of water, and public concern has been growing over its possible effects on drinking water supplies, as well as the adequacy of water supply.

The Energy Policy Act of 2005, which exempts hydraulic fracturing from regulation under the SDWA, prohibits the use of diesel fuel in the fracturing process without a UIC permit. In the past, legislation has been introduced in, but not passed by, Congress that would amend the SDWA to repeal this exemption. Specifically, the FRAC Act has been introduced in each Congress since 2008 to accomplish these purposes, and on May 9, 2013, the FRAC Act was again introduced. If similar legislation were enacted, it could require hydraulic fracturing operations to meet permitting and financial assurance requirements, adhere to certain construction specifications, fulfill monitoring, reporting and recordkeeping obligations and meet plugging and abandonment requirements. Future federal legislation could also require the reporting and public disclosure of chemical additives used in the fracturing process, which could make it easier for third parties opposing the hydraulic fracturing process to initiate legal proceedings based on allegations that specific chemical additives used in the fracturing process could adversely affect groundwater. If federal legislation regulating hydraulic fracturing is adopted in the future, it could lead to operational delays or increased operating costs and could result in additional regulatory burdens that could make it more difficult to perform hydraulic fracturing and increase our costs of compliance and doing business.

In 2010, the EPA asserted federal regulatory authority over hydraulic fracturing involving diesel additives under the UIC program by posting a requirement on its website that requires facilities to obtain permits to use diesel fuel in hydraulic fracturing operations. Following a legal challenge by industry groups and a subsequent settlement, in February 2014, the EPA issued revised guidance on the use of diesel in hydraulic fracturing operations. Under the guidance, EPA broadly defined “diesel” to include fuels such as kerosene that have not traditionally been considered diesel. The EPA’s continued assertion of its regulatory authority under the SDWA could result in extensive requirements that could cause additional costs and delays in the hydraulic fracturing process.

In addition to the above actions of the EPA, certain members of the Congress have called upon (i) the Government Accountability Office to investigate how hydraulic fracturing might adversely affect water resources; (ii) the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) to investigate the natural gas industry and any possible misleading of investors or the public regarding the economic feasibility of pursuing natural gas deposits in shale by means of hydraulic fracturing; and (iii) the Energy Information Administration to provide a better understanding of that agency’s estimates regarding natural gas reserves, including reserves from shale formations, as well as uncertainties associated with those estimates. The EPA has also studied the potential environmental impacts of hydraulic fracturing on water resources, publishing draft results in 2015. These and future investigations and studies, depending on their

degree of pursuit and any meaningful results obtained, could facilitate initiatives to further regulate hydraulic fracturing.

There are also certain governmental reviews either underway or being proposed that focus on environmental aspects of hydraulic fracturing. The White House Council on Environmental Quality is coordinating an administration-wide review of hydraulic fracturing, and a committee of the United States House of Representatives has conducted an investigation of hydraulic fracturing. Furthermore, a number of federal agencies are analyzing, or have been requested to review, a variety of environmental issues associated with hydraulic fracturing. The EPA has also begun a study of the potential environmental impacts of hydraulic fracturing.

Some states have adopted, and other states are considering adopting, regulations that could restrict hydraulic fracturing in certain circumstances or otherwise require the public disclosure of chemicals used in hydraulic fracturing. For example, pursuant to legislation adopted by the State of Texas in June 2011, the RRC enacted a rule in December 2011, requiring disclosure to the RRC and the public of certain information regarding additives, chemical ingredients, concentrations and water volumes used in hydraulic fracturing. In addition to state law, local land use restrictions, such as city ordinances, may restrict or prohibit drilling in general and hydraulic fracturing in particular.

If these or any other new laws or regulations that significantly restrict hydraulic fracturing are adopted, it could become more difficult or costly for us to drill and produce oil and gas from shale and tight sands formations and become easier for third parties opposing hydraulic fracturing to initiate legal proceedings. In addition, if hydraulic fracturing is regulated at the federal level, fracturing activities could become subject to delays, additional permitting and financial assurance requirements, more stringent construction specifications, increased monitoring, reporting and recordkeeping obligations, plugging and abandonment requirements and higher costs. These new laws or regulations could cause us to incur substantial delays or suspensions of operations and compliance costs and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### ***Compliance***

We believe that we are in substantial compliance with all existing environmental laws and regulations that apply to our current operations and that our ongoing compliance with existing requirements will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. We did not incur any material capital expenditures for remediation or pollution control activities for the year ended December 31, 2015. In addition, as of the date of this report, we are not aware of any environmental issues or claims that will require material capital or operating expenditures during 2016. However, the passage of additional or more stringent laws or regulations in the future could have a negative effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, including our ability to develop our undeveloped acreage.

### ***Threatened and Endangered Species, Migratory Birds and Natural Resources***

Various state and federal statutes prohibit certain actions that adversely affect endangered or threatened species and their habitat, migratory birds, wetlands and natural resources. These statutes include the Endangered Species Act, the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, the Clean Water Act and CERCLA. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service may designate critical habitat and suitable habitat areas that it believes are necessary for survival of threatened or endangered species. A critical habitat or suitable habitat designation could result in further material restrictions to federal land use and private land use and could delay or prohibit land access or development. Where takings of, or harm to, species or damages to

wetlands, habitat or natural resources occur or may occur, government entities or at times private parties may act to prevent oil and gas exploration activities or seek damages for harm to species, habitat or natural resources resulting from drilling or construction or releases of oil, wastes, hazardous substances or other regulated materials, and may seek natural resources damages and, in some cases, criminal penalties.

### ***OSHA and Other Laws and Regulations***

We are subject to the requirements of the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act (“OSHA”) and comparable state statutes. The OSHA hazard communication standard, the EPA community right-to-know regulations under Title III of CERCLA and similar state statutes require that we organize and/or disclose information about hazardous materials used or produced in our operations. We believe that we are in substantial compliance with these applicable requirements and with other OSHA and comparable requirements.

### **Administration**

Office Facilities – The office space for the Company's executive office is located at 609 Castle Ridge Road, Suite 335, Austin, Texas 78746.

Employees – As of March 26, 2016, the Company had 3 employees, and the Company considers its relationship with its employees satisfactory.

## **ITEM 1A – RISK FACTORS.**

### ***Going concern***

We have incurred net losses of \$10,983,270 and \$1,947,940 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. We expect that the Company will continue to experience operating losses and may have negative cash flow for so long as commodity prices remain depressed. The notes to our financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, include an explanatory paragraph expressing substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements have been prepared "assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern." Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on raising additional capital to fund our operations and ultimately on generating future profitable operations. There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise sufficient additional capital or continue to have positive cash flow from operations to address all of our cash flow needs. If we are not able to find alternative sources of cash or generate sufficient positive cash flow from operations, our business and shareholders may be materially and adversely affected.

### ***Oil and gas operations are risky.***

We compete in the areas of oil and gas exploration, production, development and transportation with other companies, many of which may have substantially larger financial and other resources. The nature of the oil and gas business also involves a variety of risks, including the risks of operating hazards such as fires, explosions, cratering, blow-outs, and encountering formations with abnormal pressures, the occurrence of any of which could result in losses to us. We maintain insurance against some, but not all, of these risks in amounts that management believes to be reasonable in accordance with customary industry practices. The occurrence of a significant event, however, that is not fully insured could have a material adverse effect on our financial position.

### ***A continuation of the decline in oil and natural gas prices would have a material impact on us.***

Our future financial condition and results of operations are dependent upon the prices we receive for our oil and natural gas production. Oil and natural gas prices historically have been volatile and more recently depressed and likely will continue to be volatile and depressed in historical standards in the future. This price volatility and depression will also affect our common stock price. We cannot predict oil and natural gas prices and prices may decline even further in the future. The following factors have an influence on oil and natural gas prices, including but not limited to:

- changes in the supply of and demand for oil and natural gas;
- storage availability;
- weather conditions;
- market uncertainty;
- domestic and foreign governmental regulations;
- the availability and cost of alternative fuel sources;
- the domestic and foreign supply of oil and natural gas;
- the price of foreign oil and natural gas;
- refining capacity;
- political conditions in oil and natural gas producing regions, including the Middle East; and
- overall economic conditions.

To counter this volatility we, from time to time, may enter into agreements to receive fixed prices on our oil and gas production to offset the risk of revenue losses if commodity prices decline; however, if commodity prices increase beyond the levels set in such agreements, we would not benefit from such increases.

***Our business will depend on transportation facilities owned by others.***

The marketability of our gas production will depend in part on the availability, proximity, and capacity of pipeline systems owned by third parties. Although we will have some contractual control over the transportation of our product, material changes in these business relationships could materially affect our operations. Federal and state regulation of oil and natural gas production and transportation, tax and energy policies, changes in supply and demand and general economic conditions could adversely affect our ability to produce, gather, and transport oil and natural gas.

***Market conditions could cause us to incur losses on our transportation contracts.***

Gas transportation contracts that we may enter into in the future may require us to transport minimum volumes of natural gas. If we ship smaller volumes, we may be liable for the shortfall. Unforeseen events, including production problems or substantial decreases in the price of natural gas, could cause us to ship less than the required volumes, resulting in losses on these contracts.

***Our actual production, revenues and expenditures related to our reserves are likely to differ from our estimates of our proved reserves. We may experience production that is less than estimated and drilling costs that are greater than estimated in our reserve reports. These differences may be material.***

The proved oil, NGL and gas reserves data included in this report are estimates. Petroleum engineering is a subjective process of estimating underground accumulations of oil and gas that cannot be measured in an exact manner. Estimates of economically recoverable oil, NGL and gas reserves and of future net cash flows necessarily depend upon a number of variable factors and assumptions, including:

- historical production from the area compared with production from other similar producing areas;
- the assumed effects of regulations by governmental agencies;
- assumptions concerning future oil, NGL and gas prices; and
- assumptions concerning future operating costs, severance and excise taxes, development costs and workover and remedial costs.

Because all reserves estimates are to some degree subjective, each of the following items may differ materially from those assumed in estimating proved reserves:

- the quantities of oil, NGL and gas that are ultimately recovered;
- the production and operating costs incurred;
- the amount and timing of future development expenditures; and
- future oil, NGL and gas prices.

Estimates of proved undeveloped reserves are even less reliable than estimates of proved developed reserves. Furthermore, different reserve engineers may make different estimates of reserves and future net revenues based on the same available data. Our actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to reserves will likely be different from estimates and the differences may be material.

***Estimating our reserves future net cash flows is difficult to do with any certainty.***

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of proved oil and natural gas reserves and their values, including many factors beyond our control. The reserve data included in this report represents only estimates. Reserve engineering is a subjective process of estimating underground accumulations of oil and natural gas that cannot be measured in an exact manner. The accuracy of any reserve estimate is a function of the quality of available data, the precision of the engineering and geological interpretation, and judgment. As a result, estimates of different engineers often vary. The estimates of reserves, future cash flows, and present value are based on various assumptions, including those prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and are inherently imprecise. There is no assurance that our present oil and gas wells will continue to produce at current or anticipated rates of production, or that production rates achieved in early periods can be maintained. Actual future production, cash flows, taxes, operating expenses, and quantities of recoverable oil and natural gas reserves may vary substantially from our estimates. Also, the use of a 10% discount factor for reporting purposes may not necessarily represent the most appropriate discount factor, given actual interest rates and risks to which our business or the oil and natural gas industry in general are subject.

Quantities of proved reserves are estimated based on economic conditions, including oil and natural gas prices in existence at the date of assessment. A reduction in oil and natural gas prices not only would reduce the value of any proved reserves, but also might reduce the amount of oil and natural gas that could be economically produced, thereby reducing the quantity of reserves. Our reserves and future cash flows may be subject to revisions, based upon changes in economic conditions, including oil and natural gas prices, as well as due to production results, operating costs, and other factors. Downward revisions of our reserves could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and operating results.

***Acquiring interests in other properties involves substantial risks.***

We evaluate and acquire interests in oil and natural gas properties which in management's judgment will provide attractive investment opportunities for the addition of production and oil and gas reserves. To acquire producing properties or undeveloped exploratory acreage will require an assessment of a number of factors including:

- Value of the properties and likelihood of future production;
- Recoverable reserves;
- Operating costs;
- Potential environmental and other liabilities;
- Drilling and production difficulties; and
- Other factors beyond our control

Such assessments will necessarily be inexact and uncertain. Because of our limited financial resources, we may not be able to evaluate properties in a manner that is consistent with industry practices. Such reviews, therefore, may not reveal all existing or potential problems, nor will they permit us to become sufficiently familiar with such properties to assess fully the deficiencies or benefits.

***Operational risks in our business are numerous and could materially impact us.***

Oil and natural gas drilling and production activities are subject to many risks, including the risk that no commercially productive reservoirs will be encountered. We can make no assurance that wells in which we have an interest will be productive or that we will recover all or any portion of investment costs.

Our operations are also subject to hazards and risks inherent in drilling for and producing and transporting oil and natural gas, including, but not limited to, such hazards as:

- Fires;
- Explosions;
- Blowouts;
- Encountering formations with abnormal pressures;
- Spills
- Natural disasters;
- Pipeline ruptures;
- Cratering

If any of these events occur in our operations, we could experience substantial losses due to:

- injury or loss of life;
- severe damage to or destruction of property, natural resources and equipment;
- pollution or other environmental damage;
- clean-up responsibilities;
- regulatory investigation and penalties; and
- other losses resulting in suspension of our operations.

In accordance with customary industry practice, we maintain insurance against some, but not all, of the risks described above with a general liability limit of \$1 million. We do not maintain insurance for damages arising out of exposure to radioactive material. Even in the case of risks against which we are insured, our policies are subject to limitations and exceptions that could cause us to be unprotected against some or all of the risk. The occurrence of an uninsured loss could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

***We must comply with environmental regulations.***

Exploratory and other oil and natural gas wells must be operated in compliance with complex and changing environmental laws and regulations adopted by federal, state and local government authorities. The implementation of new, or the modification of existing, laws and regulations could have a material adverse effect on properties in which we may have an interest. Discharge of oil, natural gas, water, or other pollutants to the oil, soil, or water may give rise to significant liabilities to government and third parties and may require us to incur substantial cost of remediation. We may be required to agree to indemnify sellers of properties purchased against certain liabilities for environmental claims associated with those properties. We can give no assurance that existing environmental laws or regulations, as currently interpreted, or as they may be reinterpreted in the future, or future laws or regulations will not materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial conditions.

***Environmental liabilities could adversely affect our business***

In the event of a release of oil, natural gas, or other pollutants from our operations into the environment, we could incur liability for personal injuries, property damage, cleanup costs, and governmental fines.

We could potentially discharge these materials into the environment in any of the following ways:

- from a well or drilling equipment at a drill site;
- leakage from gathering systems, pipelines, transportation facilities and storage tanks;
- damage to oil and natural gas wells resulting from accidents during normal operations; and
- blowouts, cratering, and explosions.

In addition, because we may acquire interests in properties that have been operated in the past by others, we may be liable for environmental damage, including historical contamination, caused by such former operators. Additional liabilities could also arise from continuing violations or contamination not discovered during our assessment of the acquired properties.

***Federal, state and local legislative and regulatory initiatives relating to hydraulic fracturing could result in increased costs and additional operating restrictions or delays in our production of oil and gas and lower returns on our capital investments.***

Bills were introduced in the previous U.S. Congress to regulate hydraulic fracturing operations and related injection of fracturing fluids and propping agents used in fracturing fluids by the oil and natural gas industry under the federal Safe Drinking Water Act (“SDWA”) and to require the disclosure of chemicals used in the hydraulic fracturing process under the SDWA, Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act (“EPCRA”) or other authority. Hydraulic fracturing is an important and commonly used process in the completion of unconventional oil and natural gas wells in shale and tight sand formations. Hydraulic fracturing involves the injection of water, sand and chemicals under pressure into rock formations to stimulate oil and natural gas production. We engage third parties to provide hydraulic fracturing or other well stimulation services to us for many of the wells that we drill and operate. Sponsors of such bills have asserted that chemicals used in the fracturing process could adversely affect drinking water supplies, surface waters, and other natural resources, and threaten health and safety. In addition, the EPA has announced its intention to conduct a comprehensive research study on the potential adverse impacts that hydraulic fracturing may have on water quality and public health and the EPA issued a draft study plan on hydraulic fracturing. Certain states have also considered or imposed reporting obligations relating to the use of hydraulic fracturing techniques.

Additional legislation or regulation could make it easier for parties opposing the hydraulic fracturing process to initiate legal proceedings based on allegations that specific chemicals used in the fracturing process could adversely affect groundwater. There has also been increasing public controversy regarding hydraulic fracturing with regard to use of fracturing fluids, impacts on drinking water supplies, use of water and the potential for impacts to surface water, groundwater and the environment generally. A number of lawsuits and enforcement actions have been initiated in Texas and other states implicating hydraulic fracturing practices.

Legislation, regulation, litigation and enforcement actions at the federal, state or local level that restrict the provision of hydraulic fracturing services could limit the availability and raise the cost of such services, delay completion of new wells and production of our oil and gas, lower our return on capital

expenditures and have a material adverse impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and quantities of oil and gas reserves that may be economically produced.

***We engage in commodity derivative transactions which involve risks that can harm our business.***

To manage our exposure to price risks in the marketing of our production, we enter into commodity derivative agreements. While intended to reduce the effects of volatile commodity prices, such transactions may limit our potential gains and increase our potential losses if commodity prices were to rise substantially over the price established by the commodity derivative. In addition, such transactions may expose us to the risk of loss in certain circumstances, including instances in which our production is lower than expected. We are also exposed to the risk of non-performance by the counterparties to the commodity derivative agreements.

***Federal and state legislation and regulatory initiatives and private litigation relating to hydraulic fracturing could stop or delay our development project and result in materially increased costs and additional operating restrictions.***

All of our proved non-producing and proved undeveloped reserves associated with future drilling and completion projects will require hydraulic fracturing. If these or any other new laws or regulations that significantly restrict hydraulic fracturing are adopted, such laws could make it more difficult or costly for us to drill and produce from our proved reserves, as well as make it easier for third parties opposing hydraulic fracturing to initiate legal proceedings. In addition, if hydraulic fracturing is regulated at the federal level, fracturing activities could become subject to additional permitting and financial assurance requirements, more stringent construction specifications, increased monitoring, reporting and recordkeeping obligations, plugging and abandonment requirements and also to permitting delays and increases in costs. These developments, as well as new laws or regulations, could cause us to incur substantial compliance costs, and compliance or the consequences of our failure to comply could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations. In addition, if we are unable to use hydraulic fracturing in completing our wells or hydraulic fracturing becomes prohibited or significantly regulated or restricted, we could lose the ability to drill and complete the projects for our proved reserves and maintain our current leasehold acreage, which would have a material adverse effect on our future business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Part of our strategy involves using some of the latest available horizontal drilling and completion techniques, which involve risks and uncertainties in their application.***

Our operations involve using some of the latest drilling and completion techniques as developed by us and our service providers. Risks that we face while drilling horizontal wells include, but are not limited to:

- landing our wellbore in the desired drilling zone;
- staying in the desired drilling zone while drilling horizontally through the formation;
- running our casing the entire length of the wellbore; and
- being able to run tools and other equipment consistently through the horizontal wellbore.

Risks that we face while completing our wells include, but are not limited to:

- the ability to fracture stimulate the planned number of stages;
- the ability to run tools the entire length of the wellbore during completion operations; and
- the ability to successfully clean out the wellbore after completion of the final fracture stimulation stage.

The results of our drilling in new or emerging formations are more uncertain initially than drilling results in areas that are more developed and have a longer history of established production. Newer or emerging formations and areas have limited or no production history and, consequently, we are more limited in assessing future drilling results in these areas. If our drilling results are less than anticipated, the return on our investment for a particular project may not be as attractive as we anticipated and we could incur material write-downs of unevaluated properties and the value of our undeveloped acreage could decline in the future.

***Changes in tax laws may adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows.***

In recent years there has been introduced, from time to time, proposed legislation that would, if enacted into law, make significant changes to U.S. tax laws, including the elimination of certain key United States federal income tax incentives currently available to oil and gas exploration and production companies. These changes include, but are not limited to:

- repeal of the percentage depletion allowance for oil and gas properties;
- elimination of current deductions for intangible drilling costs;
- elimination of the domestic manufacturing deduction for oil and gas companies; and
- extension of the amortization period for certain geological and geophysical expenditures.

It is unclear whether any such changes will be enacted or how soon any such changes could become effective. The passage of any legislation as a result of these proposals or any other similar changes in U.S. federal income tax laws could eliminate or otherwise limit certain tax deductions that are currently available with respect to oil and gas exploration and development, and any such change could negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations.

***Oil, NGL and gas prices are volatile. Continued depressed oil, NGL or gas prices would adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and our ability to meet our capital expenditure requirements and financial commitments.***

Our revenues, profitability and cash flow depend substantially upon the prices and demand for oil, NGLs and gas. The markets for these commodities are volatile, and even relatively modest drops in prices can affect significantly our financial results and impede our growth. Prices for oil, NGLs and gas fluctuate

widely in response to changes in the supply and demand for these commodities, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors beyond our control, such as:

- domestic and foreign supply of oil, NGLs and gas;
- domestic and foreign consumer demand for oil, NGLs and gas;
- overall United States and global economic conditions impacting the global supply of and demand for oil, NGLs and gas;
- the willingness and ability of OPEC to set and maintain oil price and production controls;
- commodity processing, gathering and transportation availability, the availability of refining capacity and other factors that result in differentials to benchmark prices;
- price and availability of alternative fuels;
- price and quantity of foreign imports;
- domestic and foreign governmental regulations;
- political conditions in or affecting other oil and natural gas producing countries;
- weather conditions, including unseasonably warm winter weather and tropical storms; and
- technological advances affecting oil, NGL and gas consumption.

Advanced drilling and completion technologies, such as horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing, have resulted in increased investment by oil and gas producers in developing U.S. shale oil and gas projects and, therefore, has resulted in increased production from these projects. The results of higher investment in the exploration for and production of U.S. shale oil and gas, maintenance of production levels of oil from the Middle East, and other factors, such as global economic and financial conditions, have caused the price of oil and gas to fall. Over the six months ending January 15, 2016, the NYMEX settled prices for oil and natural gas have declined by approximately 50%. During the 52 week period ended January 15, 2016, the prices for oil and natural gas (“BOE”) ranged from a high of \$65 and a low of \$27 per BOE, with the closing price at January 20, 2016 of approximately \$30. Prices may stay suppressed for some time from the levels experienced during the last few years.

***The Company’s financial position, results of operations, access to capital and the amount of oil and gas that may be economically produced would be negatively impacted if oil and gas prices stay depressed for an extended period of time.***

The ways that continued low oil and gas prices could affect us include the following:

- Cash flows would be reduced, decreasing funds available for capital expenditures needed to maintain or increase production and replace reserves;
- Future net cash flows from our properties would decrease, which could result in significant impairment expenses;

- Some reserves would no longer be economic to produce, leading to lower proved reserves, production and cash flows; and
- Access to capital, such as equity or long-term debt markets and current reserve-based lending levels, would be severely limited or unavailable.

If the current decline in oil prices continues, it is unlikely that our future cash flows will be sufficient to fund the capital expenditure levels necessary to maintain current production and reserve levels over the long term and our results of operations would be adversely affected.

Lower oil and gas prices would not only cause our revenues and cash flows to decrease but also would reduce the amount of oil and gas that we can produce economically. Substantial decreases in oil and gas prices will render uneconomic some or all of our drilling locations. This has and may continue to result in our having to impair our estimated proved reserves and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. As we experienced with the recent downward adjustment of our borrowing base under our credit facility with Citibank, a decline in oil, NGL or gas prices for an extended period of time can result in our being unable to maintain or increase our borrowing capacity, repay current or future debt or obtain additional capital on attractive terms, all of which can affect the value of our common stock. The amount available for borrowing under our revolving credit facility is subject to a borrowing base, which is determined by our lenders taking into account our estimated proved reserves and is subject to semi-annual redeterminations based on pricing models determined by the lenders at such time. As we saw in December 2015 with the lowering of our borrowing base, the recent decline in oil and gas prices has adversely impacted the value of our estimated proved reserves and, in turn, the market values used by our lenders to determine our borrowing base.

***We may not be able to generate enough cash flow to meet our debt obligations.***

We expect our earnings and cash flow to vary significantly from year to year due to the nature of our industry. As a result, the amount of debt that we can manage in some periods may not be appropriate for us in other periods. Additionally, our future cash flow may be insufficient to meet our debt obligations and other commitments. In December 2015, our senior lender decreased our borrowing base from \$11 million to \$5.5 million, at which time our outstanding drawn balance was \$6.5 million. We have yet to cure the resultant overdrawn deficiency, and the revolving credit facility matures in October 2016. There can be no assurance that our senior lender will extend the maturity date of the credit facility. We are currently in default under the line of credit, and as senior secured lender, Citibank could foreclose on our assets. A range of economic, competitive, business and industry factors will affect our future financial performance, and, as a result, our ability to generate cash flow from operations and to pay our debt. Many of these factors, such as oil and gas prices, economic and financial conditions in our industry and the global economy and initiatives of our competitors, are beyond our control. If we do not generate enough cash flow from operations to satisfy our debt obligations, we may have to undertake alternative financing plans, such as:

- selling assets;
- reducing or delaying capital investments;
- seeking to raise additional capital; or
- refinancing or restructuring our debt.

If, for any reason, we are unable to meet our debt service and repayment obligations, we would be in default under the terms of the agreements governing our debt, which would allow our creditors at that time to declare all outstanding indebtedness to be due and payable, which would in turn trigger cross-acceleration or cross-default rights between the relevant agreements. If amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility were to be accelerated, we cannot be certain that our assets would be sufficient to repay in full the money owed to the lenders or to our other debt holders.

***Our lenders can limit our borrowing capabilities, which may materially impact our operations.***

At December 31, 2015, we had approximately \$6.5 million in borrowings outstanding under our revolving credit facility, and our borrowing base was reduced by our senior lender to approximately \$5.5 million due to an impairment of our borrowing base. The borrowing base under our revolving credit facility is redetermined semi-annually based upon a number of factors, including commodity prices and reserve levels. In addition to such semi-annual redeterminations, our lenders may request one additional redetermination during any 12-month period. We expect that our borrowing base will be redetermined in the second quarter of 2016. We expect that the redetermination may result in a further material reduction in our borrowing base. Upon a further redetermination, our borrowing base could be reduced again, and if the amount outstanding under our revolving credit facility at any time exceeds the borrowing base at such time, we may be required to repay a portion of our outstanding borrowings. If the significant reduction in commodity prices continues or accelerates, it is likely that our borrowing base will be reduced further in the next semi-annual borrowing base redetermination. We use cash flow from operations and bank borrowings to fund our exploration, development and acquisition activities. A reduction in our borrowing base could limit those activities. In addition, we may significantly change our capital structure to cover our working capital needs, make future acquisitions or develop our properties. Changes in capital structure may significantly increase our debt. If we incur additional debt for these or other purposes, the related risks that we now face could intensify. A higher level of debt also increases the risk that we may default on our debt obligations. Our ability to meet our debt obligations and to reduce our level of debt depends on our future performance, which is affected by general economic conditions and financial, business and other factors, many of which are beyond our control.

***Our revolving credit facility and the indenture governing our revolving credit facility contain operating and financial restrictions and covenants that may restrict our business and financing activities or that economic conditions and commodity prices may cause us to breach.***

Our revolving credit facility contains, and any future indebtedness we incur may contain, a number of restrictive covenants that will impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us, including restrictions on our ability to, among other things:

- sell assets, including equity interests in our subsidiaries;
- consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of our assets;
- incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock;
- redeem or prepay other debt;
- pay distributions on, redeem or repurchase our common stock or redeem or repurchase our subordinated debt;

- create or incur certain liens;
- make certain acquisitions and investments;
- enter into agreements that restrict distributions or other payments from our restricted subsidiaries to us;
- engage in transactions with affiliates;
- create unrestricted subsidiaries;
- enter into financing transactions; and
- engage in certain business activities.

As a result of these covenants, we will be limited in the manner in which we conduct our business, and we may be unable to engage in favorable business activities or finance future operations or capital needs.

Our revolving credit facility also contains financial covenants. Our ability to comply with some of the covenants and restrictions contained in our revolving credit facility may be affected by events beyond our control. If market or other economic conditions do not improve, or if commodity prices remain at their current depressed levels, our ability to comply with these covenants may be impaired. A failure to comply with the covenants, ratios or tests in our revolving credit facility or any future indebtedness could result in an event of default, which, if not cured or waived, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. If an event of default under our revolving credit facility occurs and remains uncured, the lenders:

- would not be required to lend any additional amounts to us;
- could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, to be due and payable;
- may have the ability to require us to apply all of our available cash to repay these borrowings; or
- may prevent us from making debt service payments under our other agreements.

***Price declines during 2015 resulted in a material write down of the carrying values of our properties, and further price declines could result in additional write downs in the future, which would negatively impact our net income and results of operations. Additionally, current SEC rules also could require us to write down our proved undeveloped reserves in the future.***

Accounting rules require that we periodically review the carrying value of our properties for possible impairment. Based on prevailing commodity prices and specific market factors and circumstances at the time of impairment reviews, and the continuing evaluation of development plans, production data, economics and other factors, we may be required to write down the carrying value of our properties. A write-down is a non-cash charge to earnings. We may incur impairment charges in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for the periods in which such charges are taken. The risk that we will be required to write down the carrying value of our properties increases when oil and gas prices are low or volatile.

In addition, current SEC rules require that proved undeveloped reserves may only be booked if they relate to wells scheduled to be drilled within five years, unless specific circumstances justify a longer time. This rule may limit our potential to book additional proved undeveloped reserves as we pursue our development projects. Moreover, we may be required to write down our proved undeveloped reserves if we do not drill those wells within the required timeframe or if continued, depressed prices cause us to change our development plan to decrease the number of wells to be drilled over the five-year period.

***The Standardized Measure of our estimated reserves and PV-10 included in this report should not be considered as the current market value of the estimated oil and gas reserves attributable to our properties.***

Standardized Measure is a reporting convention that provides a common basis for comparing oil and gas companies subject to the rules and regulations of the SEC. Standardized Measure requires the use of specific pricing as required by the SEC as well as operating and development costs prevailing as of the date of computation. The non-GAAP financial measure, PV-10, is based on the average of the closing price on the first day of the month for the 12-month period prior to fiscal year end, while actual future prices and costs may be materially higher or lower.

Consequently, these measures may not reflect the prices ordinarily received or that will be received for oil and gas production because of varying market conditions, nor may they reflect the actual costs that will be required to produce or develop the oil and gas properties. Accordingly, estimates included herein of future net cash flow may be materially different from the future net cash flows that are ultimately received. Therefore, the Standardized Measure of our estimated reserves and PV-10 included in this report should not be construed as accurate estimates of the current fair value of our proved reserves. In addition, the 10% discount factor we use when calculating PV-10 may not be the most appropriate discount factor based on interest rates in effect from time to time and risks associated with us or the oil and gas industry in general. Actual future net revenues also will be affected by factors such as the amount and timing of actual production, prevailing operating and development costs, supply and demand for oil and gas, increases or decreases in consumption and changes in governmental regulations or taxation.

***Competition in the oil and natural gas industry is intense, and we are smaller and have a more limited operating history than many of our competitors.***

We compete with major integrated oil and gas companies and independent oil and gas companies in all areas of operation. In particular, we compete for property acquisitions and for the equipment and labor required to operate and develop these properties. Most of our competitors have substantially greater financial and other resources than we have. In addition, larger competitors may be able to absorb the burden of any changes in federal, state and local laws and regulations more easily than we can, which would adversely affect our competitive position. These competitors may be able to pay more for properties and may be able to define, evaluate, bid for, and purchase a greater number of properties and prospects than we can. Further, our competitors may have technological advantages and may be able to implement new technologies more rapidly than we can. Our ability to explore for natural gas and oil prospects and to acquire additional properties in the future will depend on our ability to conduct operations, to evaluate and select suitable properties and to consummate transactions in this highly competitive environment. In addition, most of our competitors have operated for a much longer time than we have and have demonstrated the ability to operate through industry cycles.

***Our operations substantially depend on the availability of water. Restrictions on our ability to obtain, dispose of or recycle water may impact our ability to execute our drilling and development plans in a timely or cost-effective manner.***

Water is an essential component of our drilling and hydraulic fracturing processes. Historically, we have been able to secure water from local landowners and other sources for use in our operations. During the last three years, much of the Southwest region where we operate has experienced extreme drought conditions. As a result of the severe drought, governmental authorities have restricted the use of water subject to their jurisdiction for drilling and hydraulic fracturing to protect the local water supply. If we are unable to obtain water to use in our operations, we may be unable to economically produce oil, NGLs and gas, which could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Moreover, new environmental initiatives and regulations could include restrictions on disposal of waste, including, but not limited to, produced water, drilling fluids and other wastes associated with the exploration, development or production of oil and gas. Compliance with environmental regulations and permit requirements for the withdrawal, storage and use of surface water or ground water necessary for hydraulic fracturing may increase our operating costs and cause delays, interruptions or cessation of our operations, the extent of which cannot be predicted, and all of which would have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

***Climate change legislation or regulations regulating emissions of GHGs and VOCs could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for the oil and gas we produce.***

Both houses of Congress have actively considered legislation to reduce emissions of GHGs, and some states have already taken measures to reduce emissions of GHGs, primarily through the planned development of GHG emission inventories and/or regional GHG cap-and-trade programs. Most of these cap-and-trade programs require either major sources of emissions or major producers of fuels to acquire and surrender emission allowances, with the number of allowances available for purchase reduced each year until the overall GHG emission reduction goal is achieved. These allowances are expected to escalate significantly in cost over time.

In addition, in December 2009, the EPA determined that emissions of carbon dioxide, methane and other GHGs present an endangerment to human health and the environment, because emissions of such gases are, according to the EPA, contributing to warming of the earth's atmosphere and other climatic changes. These findings by the EPA allow the agency to proceed with the adoption and implementation of regulations that would restrict emissions of GHGs under existing provisions of the federal Clean Air Act. The EPA has also issued final regulations under the NSPS and NESHAP designed to reduce VOCs. The adoption of legislation or regulatory programs to reduce GHG or VOC emissions could require us to incur increased operating costs, such as costs to purchase and operate emissions control systems, to acquire emissions allowances or comply with new regulatory requirements. Any GHG emissions legislation or regulatory programs applicable to power plants or refineries could also increase the cost of consuming, and thereby reduce demand for, the oil and natural gas we produce. Consequently, legislation and regulatory programs to reduce GHG or VOC emissions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Governmental regulations can hinder production.***

Domestic oil and natural gas exploration, production and sales are extensively regulated at both the federal and state levels. Legislation affecting the oil and natural gas industry is under constant review for amendment or expansion, frequently increasing the regulatory burden. Also, numerous departments and

agencies, both federal and state, have legal authority to issue, and have issued, rules and regulations affecting the oil and natural gas industry which often are difficult and costly to comply with and which carry substantial penalties for noncompliance. State statutes and regulations require permits for drilling operations, drilling bonds, and reports concerning operations. Most states where we operate also have statutes and regulations governing conservation matters, including the unitization or pooling of properties. Our operations are also subject to numerous laws and regulations governing plugging and abandonment, discharging materials into the environment or otherwise relating to environmental protection. The heavy regulatory burden on the oil and natural gas industry increases its costs of doing business and consequently affects its profitability. Changes in the laws, rules or regulations, or the interpretation thereof, could have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operation.

***Minority or royalty interest purchases do not allow us to control production completely.***

We sometimes acquire less than the controlling working interest in oil and natural gas properties. In such cases, it is likely that these properties would not be operated by us. When we do not have controlling interest, the operator or the other co-owners might take actions we do not agree with and possibly increase costs or reduce production income in ways we do not agree with.

***Environmental regulations can hinder production.***

Oil and natural gas activities can result in liability under federal, state and local environmental regulations for activities involving, among other things, water pollution and hazardous waste transport, storage, and disposal. Such liability can attach not only to the operator of record of the well, but also to other parties that may be deemed to be current or prior operators or owners of the wells or the equipment involved. We have inspections performed on our properties to assure environmental law compliance, but inspections may not always be performed on every well, and structural and environmental problems are not necessarily observable even when an inspection is undertaken.

***Government regulations could increase our operating costs***

Oil and natural gas operations are subject to extensive federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the exploration for, and development, production and transportation of, oil and natural gas, as well as safety matters, which may change from time to time in response to economic conditions. Matters subject to regulation by federal, state and local authorities include:

- Permits for drilling operations;
- The production and disposal of water;
- Reports concerning operations;
- Unitization and pooling of properties;
- Road and pipeline construction;
- The spacing of wells;
- Taxation;
- Production rates;
- The conservation of oil and natural gas; and
- Drilling bonds.

Many jurisdictions have at various times imposed limitations on the production of oil and natural gas by restricting the rate of flow for oil and natural gas wells below their actual capacity to produce. During the past few years there has been a significant amount of discussion by legislators and the presidential

administration concerning a variety of energy tax proposals. There can be no certainty that any such measure will be passed or what its effect will be on oil and natural gas prices if it is passed. In addition, many states have raised state taxes on energy sources and additional increases may occur, although there can be no certainty of the effect that increases in state energy taxes would have on oil and natural gas prices. Although we believe it is in substantial compliance with applicable environmental and other government laws and regulations, there can be no assurance that significant costs for compliance will not be incurred in the future.

***We have not paid cash dividends and do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future.***

We anticipate that we will retain all future earnings and other cash resources for the future operation and development of our business. We do not intend to declare or pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors after taking into account many factors, including our operating results, financial condition, current and anticipated cash needs, and other factors. Moreover, there may be no capacity for the Company to declare a cash dividend in the near future.

#### **ITEM 1B. – UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.**

**None.**

#### **ITEM 2-PROPERTIES**

##### **Principal Oil and Natural Gas Interests**

**Block A-49, Andrews County, Texas** is a producing oil field located in Andrews, Texas. The Company owns a 74%-100% working interest in three producing oil wells and two injection wells producing out of the Devonian and Ellenburger formations at an approximate depth of 7,000 to 9,000 feet.

**Spraberry Trend, Midland County, Texas** is a producing oil and natural gas field located 6 miles east of Midland, Texas. The Company owns a 6% to 15% working interest in five oil and natural gas wells producing out of the Spraberry formation at a depth of approximately 7,000 feet.

**Flying M Field, Lea County, New Mexico** is a producing oil and natural gas field located outside of Hobbs, New Mexico. The Company owns a 39.25% working interest in two oil and natural gas wells producing out of the ABO formation at a depth of approximately 8,300 feet.

**Sulimar Field, Chaves County, New Mexico** is a producing oil field located 35 miles north east of Artesia, New Mexico. The Company has a 100% working interest in one oil well producing out of the Queen formation at a depth of approximately 1,800 feet.

**Apache Field, Caddo County, Oklahoma** is a waterflood project producing from the Viola/Bromide formation. The Apache Bromide Unit is located approximately 5 miles west of the town of Apache and 25 miles north of Lawton, Oklahoma. The Company has a 25.23% working interest in the unit which consists of 23 producing oil wells and 9 water injection wells.

**North Bilbrey Field, Lea County, New Mexico** is a producing natural gas field located outside of Hobbs, New Mexico. The Company owns a 50% working interest in the North Bilbrey #7 federal well producing out of the Atoka formation at approximately 13,000 feet.

**Longwood Field, Caddo Parish, Louisiana** is a producing natural gas field located north of Greenwood, Louisiana. The Company owns a 12.22% working interest in two natural gas wells producing out of the Cotton Valley formation at a depth of approximately 7,800 feet.

**Lusk Field, Lea County, New Mexico** is a producing oil and natural gas field located outside of Hobbs, New Mexico. The Company owns an 87.5%-100% working interest in three oil and natural gas wells producing out of the Bone Spring and Yates formations in Section 15 at depths ranging from approximately 3,400 feet to approximately 10,000 feet and a 43.75% working interest in three wells drilled and producing out of the Bone Spring formation. We also have a 14.06% working interest in one oil and natural gas well producing out of the Wolfcamp formation in Section 14. The Company also owns an 87.5% working interest in one water disposal well.

**Loving North Morrow Field, Eddy County, New Mexico** is a producing natural gas field located 2 miles west of Loving, New Mexico and 12 miles south east of Carlsbad, New Mexico. The Company owns a 4.3% - 12% working interest in three natural gas wells producing out of the Morrow formation from a depth of approximately 12,300 feet to 12,450 feet.

**Chickasha Field, Grady County, Oklahoma** is a waterflood project producing from the Medrano Sand. The Rush Springs Medrano Unit is located approximately 65 miles southwest of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The Company has a 20.64% working interest in the unit which consists of 22 producing oil and natural gas wells and 11 water injection wells.

**West Allen Field, Pontotoc County, Oklahoma** is a producing oil and natural gas field located approximately 100 miles south of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The Company has a working interest in 52 leases or a total of 224 wells, the leases have multiple wellbores and the Company has plans to participate in the future recompletion of behind pipe zones.

**Giddings Field, Fayette County, Texas** is in the Austin Chalk field located in various counties surrounding the city of Giddings, Texas. In February 1998, the Company acquired a 97% working interest in the Shade lease. The lease currently has three producing oil and natural gas wells. Oil and natural gas are produced from the Austin chalk formation.

**Big Muddy Field, Converse County, Wyoming** is a producing oilfield located approximately 30 miles south of Casper, Wyoming. The Company owns a 100% working interest in the Elkhorn and J.C. Kinney lease which consists of four oil wells producing out of the Wallcreek and Dakota formations at depths ranging from approximately 3,200 feet to approximately 4,000 feet.

**Serbin Field, Lee and Bastrop Counties Texas** is an oil and natural gas field located approximately 50 miles east of Austin and 100 miles west of Houston. The Company has a 25% working interest in 148 producing oil and natural gas wells. Oil and natural gas are produced from the Taylor Sand at depths ranging from approximately 5,300 feet to approximately 5,600 feet; it is a 46-gravity oil sand.

## Production

The table below sets forth oil and natural gas production from the Company's net interest in producing properties for each of its last two fiscal years.

<u>Production by State</u>	<u>Oil (bbl)</u>		<u>Gas (mcf)</u>	
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Louisiana	25	28	5,969	9,441
New Mexico	27,097	39,313	84,231	94,038
Oklahoma	27,504	28,771	21,863	29,733
Texas	17,611	25,119	13,063	14,874
Wyoming	<u>5,223</u>	<u>4,399</u>	<u>39</u>	<u>-</u>
TOTAL	<u>77,460</u>	<u>97,630</u>	<u>125,165</u>	<u>148,086</u>

The Company's oil and natural gas production is sold on the spot market and the Company does not have any production that is subject to firm commitment contracts. During the year ended December 31, 2015, purchases by three customers, Cimarex Energy Co., Sunoco, and Riley Exploration Company, LLC, represented more than 10% of total Company revenues. During the year end December 31, 2014, purchases by three customers, Cimarex Energy Co., Sunoco, and Riley Exploration Company, LLC, represented more than 10% of total Company revenues. None of these customers, or any other customers of the Company, has a firm sales agreement with the Company. The Company believes that it would be able to locate alternate customers in the event of the loss of one or all of these customers.

## Productive Wells

The table below sets forth certain information regarding the Company's ownership, as of December 31, 2015, of productive wells in the areas indicated.

State	Oil		Gas	
	<u>Gross<sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>Net<sup>(2)</sup></u>	<u>Gross<sup>(1)</sup></u>	<u>Net<sup>(2)</sup></u>
Louisiana	-	-	2	.24
New Mexico	10	6.25	4	.61
Oklahoma	253	58.13	37	4.59
Texas	155	39.34	7	4.10
Wyoming	<u>4</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total	<u>422</u>	<u>103.72</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>9.54</u>

<sup>1</sup> A gross well or acre is a well or acre in which a working interest is owned. The number of gross wells is the total number of wells in which a working interest is owned. The number of gross acres is the total number of acres in which a working interest is owned.

<sup>2</sup> A net well or acre is deemed to exist when the sum of fractional ownership working interests in gross wells or acres equals one. The number of net wells or acres is the sum of the fractional working interests owned in gross wells or acres expressed as whole numbers and fractions thereof.

## Drilling Activity

The Company did not drill any productive, dry exploratory or development wells during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015.

## Reserves

*Estimated Proved Reserves/Developed and Undeveloped Reserves:* The following tables set forth the estimated proved developed and proved undeveloped oil and gas reserves of FieldPoint for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. See Notes 13 and 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements and the following discussion.

### Estimated Proved Reserves

<u>Proved Reserves</u>	<u>Oil (Bbls)</u>	<u>Gas (Mcf)</u>
Estimated quantity, January 1, 2014	1,020,712	1,989,528
Revisions of previous estimates	(25,391)	(51,075)
Extensions and discoveries	216,930	118,880
Production	<u>(97,630)</u>	<u>(148,086)</u>
Estimated quantity, December 31, 2014	1,114,621	1,909,247
Revisions of previous estimates	(631,388)	(996,140)
Extensions and discoveries	-	-
Production	<u>(77,460)</u>	<u>(125,165)</u>
Estimated quantity, December 31, 2015	<u>405,773</u>	<u>787,942</u>

### Proved Developed and Undeveloped Reserves

	<u>Developed</u>	<u>Undeveloped</u>	<u>Total</u>
Oil (Bbls)			
December 31, 2015	405,773	-	405,773
December 31, 2014	937,416	177,205	1,114,621
Gas (Mcf)			
December 31, 2015	787,942	-	787,942
December 31, 2014	1,652,014	257,233	1,909,247

### *Proved Undeveloped Reserves*

As of December 31, 2015, we had no BOE of proved undeveloped (“PUD”) reserves, which is a decrease of 220,077 BOE or 100%, compared with 220,077 BOE of PUD reserves at December 31, 2014. As a percent of our total proved reserves, our PUD reserves decreased from 15% in 2014 to 0% in 2015 due to lower commodity prices rendering PUD reserves uneconomic.

The following table summarizes the changes in our PUD reserves during 2015.

	<u>Oil (Bbls)</u>	<u>Gas (Mcf)</u>	<u>Total (BOE)</u>
Balance – December 31, 2014	177,205	257,233	220,077
Extensions and discoveries	-	-	-
Revisions to previous estimates	(177,205)	(257,233)	(220,077)
Conversion to proved developed reserves	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Balance – December 31, 2015	<u><u>-</u></u>	<u><u>-</u></u>	<u><u>-</u></u>

The Company did not convert any PUD reserves to proved developed reserves during 2015 or 2014 and had no net investment required to convert PUD reserves to proved developed reserves during 2015 or 2014.

The Company has no estimated future development costs relating to the development of PUD reserves at December 31, 2015.

We monitor fluctuations in commodity prices, drilling and completion costs, operating expenses and drilling success to determine adjustments to our drilling and development program. Based on current expectations for cash flows, commodity prices and operating costs and expenses, as well as drilling and completion prices, the company has no plans to drill any wells in the near future.

### ***Preparation of Proved Reserves Estimates***

#### *Internal Controls Over Preparation of Proved Reserves Estimates*

Our policies regarding internal controls over the recording of reserve estimates require reserve estimates to be in compliance with SEC rules, regulations and guidance and prepared in accordance with generally accepted petroleum engineering principles. Our proved oil and natural gas reserves as of December 31, 2015, have been estimated by Joe C. Neal and Associates. Our proved oil and natural gas reserves as of December 31, 2014, have been estimated by Fletcher Lewis Engineering, Inc., PGH Engineers, Joe C. Neal and Associates and Pinnacle Energy Services, LLC. These independent consultants are responsible for overseeing the preparation of our reserve estimates and for internal compliance of our reserve estimates with SEC rules, regulations and generally accepted petroleum engineering principles. Phillip Roberson, President and CFO, provides company data (such as well ownership interests, oil and gas prices, production volumes and well operating costs) to consulting petroleum engineers and is the primary Company employee responsible for reviewing their use of our data and estimation of our reserves. Mr. Roberson, who has over fifteen years of experience in various capacities in the oil and gas exploration industry, provides our consulting petroleum engineers with technical data (such as well logs, geological information and well histories). Mr. Roberson also reviews the preliminary reserve estimates and the financial inputs in the estimates. Mr. Roberson calculates the disclosed changes in reserve estimates and the disclosed changes in the Standardized Measure relating to proved oil and gas reserves.

As defined in the Securities and Exchange Commission Rules, proved reserves are the estimated quantities of oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, i.e., prices and costs as of the date the estimate is made. Prices include considerations of changes in existing prices provided only by contractual arrangements but not on escalations based on future conditions. Reservoirs are considered proved if economic production is

supported by either actual production or conclusive formation tests. Reserves which can be produced economically through application of improved recovery techniques, such as fluid injections, are included in the “proved” classification when successful testing by a pilot project, or the operations of an installed program in the reservoir, provide support for the engineering analysis on which the project or program was based. Due to the inherent uncertainties and the limited nature of reservoir data, such estimates are subject to change as additional information becomes available. The reserves actually recovered and the timing of production of these reserves may be substantially different from the original estimate. Revisions result primarily from new information obtained from development drilling and production history and from changes in economic factors.

For information concerning the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows, estimated future net cash flows and present values of such cash flows attributable to our proved oil and gas reserves as well as other reserve information, see Notes 13 and 14 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### *Technologies Used in Preparation of Proved Reserves Estimates*

Estimates of reserves were prepared by the use of standard geological and engineering methods generally accepted by the petroleum industry. The method or combination of methods used in the analysis of each reservoir was tempered by experience with similar reservoirs, stage of development, quality and completeness of basic data and production history.

When applicable, the volumetric method was used to estimate the original oil in place, or OOIP, and the original gas in place, or OGIP. Structure and isopach maps were constructed to estimate reservoir volume. Electrical logs, radioactivity logs, core analyses and other available data were used to prepare these maps as well as to estimate representative values for porosity and water saturation. When adequate data were available and when circumstances justified, material balance and other engineering methods were used to estimate OOIP or OGIP.

Estimates of ultimate recovery were obtained after applying recovery factors to OOIP or OGIP. These recovery factors were based on consideration of the type of energy inherent in the reservoirs, analyses of the petroleum, the structural positions of the properties and the production histories. When applicable, material balance and other engineering methods were used to estimate recovery factors. An analysis of reservoir performance, including production rate, reservoir pressure and gas-oil ratio behavior, was used in the estimation of reserves.

Because our proved reserves are located in depletion-type reservoirs and reservoirs whose performance demonstrates a reliable decline in producing-rate trends, reserves were also estimated by the application of appropriate decline curves or other performance relationships. In the analyses of production-declining curves, reserves were estimated only to the limits of economic production or to the limit of the production licenses or leases as appropriate.

#### *Reserves Sensitivity Analysis*

As permitted by the recently adopted SEC regulations, we have elected not to undertake a sensitivity analysis of our reserves estimates.

*Oil and Gas Reserves Reported to Other Agencies:*

We did not file any estimates of total proved net oil or gas reserves with, or include such information in reports to, any federal authority or agency during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, or subsequently thereafter.

*Title Examinations: Oil and Gas:*

As is customary in the oil and gas industry, we perform only a perfunctory title examination at the time of acquisition of undeveloped properties. Prior to the commencement of drilling, in most cases, and in any event where we are the Operator, a thorough title examination is conducted and significant defects remedied before proceeding with operations. We believe that the title to our properties is generally acceptable to a reasonably prudent operator in the oil and gas industry. The properties we own are subject to royalty, overriding royalty and other interests customary in the industry, liens incidental to operating agreements, current taxes and other burdens, minor encumbrances, easements and restrictions. We do not believe that any of these burdens materially detract from the value of the properties or will materially interfere with our business.

We have purchased producing properties on which no updated title opinion was prepared. In some, but not all, cases, we have retained third party petroleum landmen to review title.

***Acreage***

The following tables set forth the gross and net acres of developed and undeveloped oil and natural gas leases in which the Company had working interest and royalty interest as of December 31, 2015. The category of "Undeveloped Acreage" in the table includes leasehold interest that already may have been classified as containing proved undeveloped reserves.

<u>State</u>	<b>Developed</b>		<b>Undeveloped</b>	
	<u>Gross</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Net</u> <sup>(2)</sup>	<u>Gross</u> <sup>(1)</sup>	<u>Net</u> <sup>(2)</sup>
Louisiana	160	20	1,120	137
New Mexico	2,600	851	1,560	655
Oklahoma	6,640	906	720	175
Texas	6,588	2,007	815	460
Wyoming	<u>320</u>	<u>260</u>	<u>2,581</u>	<u>2,375</u>
Total	<u>16,308</u>	<u>4,044</u>	<u>6,796</u>	<u>3,802</u>

<sup>1</sup> A gross well or acre is a well or acre in which a working interest is owned. The number of gross wells is the total number of wells in which a working interest is owned. The number of gross acres is the total number of acres in which a working interest is owned.

<sup>2</sup> A net well or acre is deemed to exist when the sum of fractional ownership working interests in gross wells or acres equals one. The number of net wells or acres is the sum of the fractional working interests owned in gross wells or acres expressed as whole numbers and fractions thereof.

**ITEM 3-LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

None.

**ITEM 4-SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

None.

## PART II

### **ITEM 5-MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

Since September 20, 2005 the Company's common stock has been traded and listed on the NYSE MKT, LLC, formerly the NYSE Amex and before that the NYSE Alternext and formerly the American Stock Exchange, under the symbol "FPP." Prior to September 20, 2005, the Company's common stock was listed on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol FPPC. The following sets forth the high and low closing prices of our common stock on the NYSE MKT, LLC for the periods shown.

<u>FISCAL 2014</u>	<u>CLOSING PRICE</u>	
	<u>HIGH</u>	<u>LOW</u>
First Quarter	4.84	4.05
Second Quarter	5.78	4.69
Third Quarter	5.50	3.86
Fourth Quarter	3.96	1.79
<u>FISCAL 2015</u>		
	<u>HIGH</u>	<u>LOW</u>
First Quarter	2.12	0.99
Second Quarter	2.33	1.00
Third Quarter	1.21	0.85
Fourth Quarter	1.63	0.50

At March 22, 2016, the approximate number of shareholders of record was 147. The Company has not paid any cash dividends on its common stock and does not expect to do so in the foreseeable future.

### **EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION**

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of securities remaining available for future issuances under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	-	-	-
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-

## ITEM 6 SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

We have set forth below certain selected financial data. The information has been derived from the financial statements, financial information and notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

	<u>Years Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
<b>Statements of Operations Data:</b>		
Total revenues	\$ 3,969,475	\$ 9,232,297
Operating expenses	16,999,398	11,945,345
Net loss	(10,983,270)	(1,947,940)
Basic loss per share	\$ (1.30)	\$ (0.24)
Shares used in computing basic earnings per share	8,445,571	8,095,731
Diluted loss per share	\$ (1.30)	\$ (0.24)
Shares used in computing diluted earnings per share	8,445,571	8,095,731
	<u>December 31,</u>	
	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
<b>Balance Sheet Data:</b>		
Working capital (deficit)	\$ (5,641,837)	\$ 1,567,853
Total assets	10,519,689	22,897,629
Total liabilities	9,642,551	11,929,728
Stockholders' equity	877,138	10,967,901

## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's Financial Statements, and respective notes thereto, included elsewhere herein. The information below should not be construed to imply that the results discussed herein will necessarily continue into the future or that any conclusion reached herein will necessarily be indicative of actual operating results in the future. Such discussion represents only the best present assessment of the management of FieldPoint Petroleum Corporation.

### **Overview**

FieldPoint Petroleum Corporation derives our revenues from our operating activities including sales of oil and natural gas and operating oil and natural gas properties. Our capital for investment in producing oil and natural gas properties has been provided by cash flow from operating activities and from bank financing. We categorize our operating expenses into the categories of production expenses and other expenses.

On June 9, 2013, the Board of Directors elected Mr. Roger D. Bryant to serve as our executive Chairman and granted him executive powers to oversee the management of the Company. Mr. Bryant continues to serve as our highest ranking executive officer. On June 14, 2013, Mr. Phillip H. Roberson was engaged to take operational control of the business, and on July 1, 2013, he was named COO/CFO. On December 10, 2013, Mr. Roberson was named President of the Company.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company suspended its plans to drill the fourth well in the East Lusk field in New Mexico because of rapidly declining oil and natural gas commodity prices and drilling and completion prices which both affect the well economics. The Company has no plans to drill any wells in the near future.

In January 2014, the Company drilled and completed a successful development well in Lee County, Texas. The net cost to the Company was approximately \$1,000,000.

In May 2014, the Company completed the Ranger 11A-1H in Bastrop County, Texas. The Company has a 25% working interest and 18.75% net revenue interest in the well. The net cost to drill and complete the well was approximately \$875,000. The well was successfully completed and is in production.

On June 1, 2014, the Company executed and closed on the first transaction with Riley Exploration Group, LLC ("Riley"). Pursuant to the terms of the exploration agreement FieldPoint assigned 6 net Taylor sand wells in the Serbin Field to Riley in return for 7 net wells in the same field. In addition, FieldPoint assigned to Riley 240 net acres in exchange for 239 net acres. On July 1, 2014, the Company executed a second closing with Riley. Pursuant to the terms of the exploration agreement FieldPoint assigned 23 net Taylor sand wells in the Serbin Field to Riley in return for 20 net wells in the same field. In addition, FieldPoint assigned Riley 759 net acres in exchange for 760 net acres. Both Riley transactions were a non-cash contribution of interests with substantially the same fair value. Operations in the field transferred from FieldPoint to Riley for these leases. FieldPoint and Riley plan to jointly re-develop these leases by plugging the legacy wells and drilling new horizontal wells.

In July 2014, the Company completed the Ranger 8A-2H and the Ranger 8A3-3H in Lee County, Texas. The Company has a 25% working interest and 18.75% net revenue interest in each well. The net cost to drill and complete the wells was approximately \$850,000 per well. The wells are in production.

During 2014, we began recompleting the University Z2 well in Andrews County, Texas. We plugged back from the Devonian formation and recompleted in the Wolfcamp formation. We believed that we had successfully recompleted the well but it subsequently developed a leak in the casing and we temporarily shut in the well. We unsuccessfully attempted to repair the casing leak and ultimately decided to abandon the well rather than attempt a second casing repair. We recognized exploration expense of \$18,107 and \$462,302 during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

### ***Going concern***

We have incurred net losses of \$10,983,270 and \$1,947,940 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. We expect that the Company will continue to experience operating losses and negative cash flow for so long as commodities prices remain depressed. Our financial statements for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, include an explanatory paragraph expressing substantial doubt as to our ability to continue as a going concern. The financial statements have been prepared "assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern." Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on raising additional capital to fund our operations and ultimately on generating future profitable operations. To mitigate our current financial situation, we are meeting with investors for possible equity investments, we have filed a shelf S-3 that is not yet effective and pending approval by the SEC that will permit a limited at the market (ATM) capital raise, and we are investigating other sources of capital. There can be no assurance that we will be able to raise sufficient additional capital or continue to have positive cash flow from operations to address all of our cash flow needs. If we are not able to find

alternative sources of cash or generate positive cash flow from operations, our business and shareholders may be materially and adversely affected.

## Results of Operations

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Revenues:		
Oil sales	\$ 3,554,300	\$ 8,401,759
Natural gas sales	<u>314,542</u>	<u>704,724</u>
Total	<u>\$ 3,868,842</u>	<u>\$ 9,106,483</u>
Sales volumes:		
Oil (Bbls)	77,460	97,630
Natural gas (Mcf)	<u>125,165</u>	<u>148,086</u>
Total (BOE)	<u>98,322</u>	<u>122,311</u>
Average sales prices		
Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$ 45.89	\$ 86.06
Natural gas (\$/Mcf)	<u>2.51</u>	<u>4.76</u>
Total (\$/BOE)	<u>\$ 39.35</u>	<u>\$ 74.45</u>
Costs and expenses (\$/BOE)		
Lease operating expense (lifting costs)	\$ 26.65	\$ 25.16
Production taxes	4.12	6.93
Depletion and depreciation	24.59	21.47
Exploration expense	0.19	3.78
Impairment of oil and natural gas properties	102.29	25.23
Accretion of discount on asset retirement obligations	1.08	0.85
General and administrative	<u>13.98</u>	<u>14.24</u>
Total	<u>\$ 172.90</u>	<u>\$ 97.66</u>

### Revenues

Oil and natural gas sales revenues decreased by \$5,237,641 or 58%, due to decreased commodity prices of approximately \$3,393,000 and \$1,845,000 due to lower sales volumes. Oil sales decreased by approximately \$4,848,000 due to decreased prices of \$3,112,000 and \$1,736,000 due to lower production. Oil sales volumes decreased by 21%, primarily due to natural declines in our Bone Spring and Ranger Horizontal Taylor Serbin wells which were drilled in late 2013 and 2014, as well as maintenance issues with our North Block 12 production. Natural gas sales decreased approximately \$390,000 or 55%, due to decreased commodity prices of approximately \$281,000 and \$109,000 due to lower sales volumes in 2015. Oil and natural gas prices have been volatile during 2015 and we expect this to continue. Our oil and natural gas sales revenue will be highly dependent on commodity prices in 2016.

### Lease Operating Expenses

Lease operating expenses decreased \$456,467 or 15% primarily due to lower production during 2015. Costs increased by \$1.49 per barrel equivalent (BOE) or 6% in 2015 as compared to 2014. Decreased sales volumes accounted for a \$604,000 decrease in costs but was offset by increased costs of approximately \$147,000 in 2015. Many of our properties are mature and bear high operating expense which could result in increased operating costs in the future.

### Production Taxes

Production taxes decreased \$443,293 or 52%, primarily the result of decreased oil and natural gas sales volumes and higher costs per barrel equivalent. Production taxes amounted to approximately 10% of oil and natural gas sales revenue during 2015 and 9% during 2014. We expect production taxes to range between 8% and 10% of oil and natural gas sales revenue.

### Depletion and Depreciation

Depletion and depreciation expense decreased by \$209,100 or 8%. The decrease in depletion and depreciation was primarily due to lower production during 2015 and impairment in the prior year.

### Impairment of Oil and Natural Gas Properties

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded impairment of \$10,057,633 on ten proved properties and on three unproved properties. The largest impairment was on the Lusk field in New Mexico of \$4,407,064. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded impairment of \$3,085,787 on six properties. The largest impairment was on the Serbin Taylor field of \$1,966,408. The increase in impairment was due mainly to a precipitous drop in commodity prices starting in the fourth quarter of 2014 and continuing through 2015, which required us to substantially lower the pricing for the calculation of anticipated future net cash flows in our impairment model and the removal of proved undeveloped reserves due to intent and ability to develop.

### General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expenses decreased \$366,738 or 21% primarily due to decreases in compensation expense, professional services fees and board fees. Significant components of general and administrative expenses include personnel-related costs and professional services fees. We expect our general and administrative expenses to decrease next year.

### Other Income (Expense)

Other income, net for the year ended December 31, 2015, amounted to \$86,407 compared to other expense, net of \$252,398 for the comparable 2014 period. Interest expense decreased in 2015 by \$5,355 or 2% to \$257,705 compared to \$263,060 in 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we had a realized gain of \$393,569 on commodity derivatives. Warrant modification expense of \$66,124 was reported during the year ended December 31, 2015. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we had an unrealized gain of \$4,000 on commodity derivatives.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Cash flow provided by operating activities was approximately \$700,000 for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The decrease in cash flow from operating activities was primarily due to a decrease in net income.

In 2015, we used our operating cash flow along with cash on hand to fund approximately \$235,000 of development of oil and natural gas properties. The Company received \$20,000 proceeds from the sale of used equipment and approximately \$31,000 proceeds from certificates of deposits during 2015. In 2014, we used our operating cash flow along with cash on hand to fund \$6.1 million of development of oil and natural gas properties.

Cash flow provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015, included inflow of \$734,716 from the exercise of 734,716 of our outstanding publicly traded common stock purchase warrants during a temporary modification period with an exercise price of \$1.00 per share. The Company

used cash flow from financing activities to repay \$761,667 on the short-term debt in 2015. Cash flow provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2014, included \$500,000 from the draw on our line of credit plus \$196,195 from the exercise of 49,049 of our outstanding publicly traded common stock purchase warrants at an exercise price of \$4.00 per share.

## **Capital Requirements**

As of December 31, 2015, we had a working capital deficit of approximately \$5.6 million. Our line of credit provides for certain financial covenants and ratios measured quarterly which include a current ratio, leverage ratio, and interest coverage ratio requirements. The Company is out of compliance with all three ratios as of December 31, 2015, and is in technical default of the agreement. Furthermore, the borrowing base under our line of credit was redetermined December 1, 2015, based on the value of proved reserves, and was reduced from \$11 million to \$5.5 million. As a result of the redetermination of the credit base, the Company had a borrowing base deficiency in the amount of \$1,495,000 on December 1, 2015. As an election under the Loan Agreement, the Company agreed to pay and cure the deficiency in three equal monthly installments of \$498,333 each, due on December 31, 2015, January 31, 2016 and February 29, 2016. We made our first required deficiency payment in the amount of \$516,667 on December 29, 2015, reducing our loan balance to \$6,478,333 and our borrowing base deficiency to \$978,333 as of December 31, 2015. However, we have not made the required deficiency payments in January or February 2016.

We cannot predict how oil and natural gas prices will fluctuate during 2016 and what effect they will ultimately have on our operations. The timing of most capital expenditures is relatively discretionary. Therefore, we can plan expenditures to coincide with available funds in order to minimize business risks. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$61,522 of capital items in accounts payable that will be paid from working capital.

On January 1, 2014, FieldPoint signed an exploration agreement ("the agreement") with Riley Exploration, LLC ("Riley"). The agreement provided for an Area of Mutual Interest ("AMI") and a 90 day due diligence period, after which both companies cross assigned their working interest and vertical wells with a targeted ownership for FieldPoint of 25%. The agreement also provides for a development plan with the intent to drill up to twelve horizontal wells during the initial twelve month period after closing. FieldPoint may elect to be the drilling operator of every fifth well. The agreement also provides for a standard Joint Operating Agreement which allows either partner to participate or decline to participate in every well with no obligatory wells. All of the acreage in the Ranger Project is held by production so we have agreed with our joint venture partner Riley to temporarily suspend development until we experience a substantial increase in commodity pricing.

## **Contractual Obligations and Commitments**

We have contractual obligations and commitments that affect our consolidated results of operations, financial condition and liquidity. The following table is a summary of our significant cash contractual obligations:

	<u>Obligation Due in Period</u>			
Cash Contractual Obligations	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>Thereafter</u>	<u>Total</u>
	<i>(in thousands)</i>			
Credit facility (secured)	\$ 6,478	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,478
Interest on credit facility	195	-	-	195
Office lease	<u>41</u>	<u>43</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>87</u>
Total	<u>\$ 6,714</u>	<u>\$ 43</u>	<u>\$ 3</u>	<u>\$ 6,760</u>

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES**

Our accounting policies are described in Note 1 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. We prepare our Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP"), which require us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the year. Actual results could differ from those estimates. We consider the following policies to be most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing our financial statements and the uncertainties that could impact our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

#### **Successful Efforts Method of Accounting**

We account for our exploration and development activities utilizing the successful efforts method of accounting. Under this method, costs of productive exploratory wells, development dry holes and productive wells and undeveloped leases are capitalized. Oil and natural gas lease acquisition costs are also capitalized. Exploration costs, including personnel costs, certain geological and geophysical expenses and delay rentals for oil and natural gas leases, are charged to expense as incurred. Exploratory drilling costs are initially capitalized, but charged to expense if and when the well is determined not to have found reserves in commercial quantities. The sale of a partial interest in a proved property is accounted for as a cost recovery and no gain or loss is recognized as long as this treatment does not significantly affect the unit-of-production amortization rate. A gain or loss is recognized for all other sales of producing properties.

The application of the successful efforts method of accounting requires managerial judgment to determine the proper classification of wells designated as developmental or exploratory which will ultimately determine the proper accounting treatment of the costs incurred. The results from a drilling operation can take considerable time to analyze and the determination that commercial reserves have been discovered requires both judgment and industry experience. Wells may be completed that are assumed to be productive and actually deliver oil and natural gas in quantities insufficient to be economic, which may result in the abandonment of the wells at a later date. The evaluation of oil and natural gas leasehold

acquisition costs requires managerial judgment to estimate the fair value of these costs with reference to drilling activity in a given area.

The successful efforts method of accounting can have a significant impact on the operational results reported when we enter a new exploratory area in hopes of finding an oil and natural gas field that will be the focus of future developmental drilling activity. The initial exploratory wells may be unsuccessful and will be expensed. Seismic costs can be substantial which will result in additional exploration expenses when incurred.

### **Reserve Estimates**

Estimates of oil and natural gas reserves, by necessity, are projections based on geologic and engineering data, and there are uncertainties inherent in the interpretation of such data as well as the projection of future rates of production and the timing of development expenditures. Reserve engineering is a subjective process of estimating underground accumulations of oil and natural gas that are difficult to measure. The accuracy of any reserve estimate is a function of the quality of available data, engineering and geological interpretation and judgment. Estimates of economically recoverable oil and natural gas reserves and future net cash flows necessarily depend upon a number of variable factors and assumptions, such as historical production from the area compared with production from other producing areas, the assumed effects of regulations by governmental agencies and assumptions governing future oil and natural gas prices, future operating costs, severance taxes, development costs and workover costs, all of which may in fact vary considerably from actual results. The future drilling costs associated with reserves assigned to proved undeveloped locations may ultimately increase to an extent that these reserves may be later determined to be uneconomic. For these reasons, estimates of the economically recoverable quantities of oil and natural gas attributable to any particular group of properties, classifications of such reserves based on risk of recovery, and estimates of the future net cash flows expected therefrom may vary substantially. Any significant variance in the assumptions could materially affect the estimated quantity and value of the reserves, which could affect the carrying value of our oil and natural gas properties and/or the rate of depletion of the oil and natural gas properties. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to our reserves will likely vary from estimates, and such variances may be material.

### **Impairment of Oil and Natural Gas Properties**

We review our oil and natural gas properties for impairment whenever events and circumstances indicate a decline in the recoverability of their carrying value. We estimate the expected future cash flows of our oil and natural gas properties and compare such future cash flows to the carrying amount of our oil and natural gas properties to determine if the carrying amount is recoverable. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated undiscounted future cash flows, we will adjust the carrying amount of the oil and natural gas properties to their fair value. The factors used to determine fair value include, but are not limited to, estimates of proved reserves, commodity pricing, future production estimates, anticipated capital expenditures, and a discount rate commensurate with the risk associated with realizing the expected cash flows projected.

Because of the precipitous drop in energy prices starting the last quarter of 2014 and continuing through 2015, we used a 5 and a 7 year NYMEX strip price for 2015 and 2014, respectively, rather than the average pricing that is normally used in our estimate of expected future cash flows. This resulted in a much larger impairment expense of \$10,057,633 on our proved and unproved oil and natural gas properties in 2015 and \$3,085,787 of impairments of proved oil and natural gas properties in 2014.

## **ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

We periodically enter into certain commodity price risk management transactions to manage our exposure to oil and natural gas price volatility. These transactions may take the form of futures contracts, swaps or options. All data relating to our derivative positions is presented in accordance with requirements of authoritative accounting guidance. Unrealized gains and losses related to the change in fair value of derivative contracts that qualify and are designated as cash flow hedges are recorded as other comprehensive income or loss and such amounts are reclassified to oil and natural gas sales revenues as the associated production occurs. Derivative contracts that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment are recorded as derivative assets and liabilities at fair value in the consolidated balance sheet, and the associated unrealized gains and losses are recorded as current expense or income in the consolidated statement of operations. While such derivative contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting, management believes these contracts can be utilized as an effective component of commodity price risk management activities. At December 31, 2015, there were no open positions but we did have derivative transactions during 2015 and reported a realized gain of \$393,569 included in other income (expense) on our consolidated statement of operations. At December 31, 2014, there were no open positions but we did have derivative transactions during 2014 and reported a realized loss \$882 and an unrealized gain of \$4,000 included in other income (expense) on our consolidated statement of operations.

**ITEM 8 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA**

Index to Financial Statements

	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-7
Supplemental Oil and Natural Gas Information (Unaudited)	F-24

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Stockholders  
FieldPoint Petroleum Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Austin, Texas

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of FieldPoint Petroleum Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of FieldPoint Petroleum Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses, and has a working capital deficit. This raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters also are discussed in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

*/s/Hein & Associates LLP*

Dallas, Texas  
March 30, 2016

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

ASSETS

	DECEMBER 31,	
	2015	2014
<b>CURRENT ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,467,279	\$ 978,145
Certificates of deposit	-	30,815
Accounts receivable:		
Oil and natural gas sales	536,413	589,643
Joint interest billings, less allowance for doubtful accounts of approximately \$237,000 and \$174,000, respectively	221,159	309,417
Income taxes receivable	23,442	350,486
Deferred income tax asset-current	-	98,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	67,236	74,032
Total current assets	2,315,529	2,430,538
<b>PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT:</b>		
Oil and natural gas properties (successful efforts method)	41,085,514	40,873,612
Other equipment	108,460	108,460
Less accumulated depletion, depreciation and impairment	(32,989,814)	(20,514,981)
Net property and equipment	8,204,160	20,467,091
Total assets	\$ 10,519,689	\$ 22,897,629

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Line of credit - current	\$ 6,478,333	\$ -
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	891,611	546,731
Oil and natural gas revenues payable	459,627	315,954
Asset retirement obligation - current	127,795	-
Total current liabilities	7,957,366	862,685
<b>LINE OF CREDIT</b>	-	7,240,000
<b>DEFERRED INCOME TAXES</b>	-	2,064,000
<b>ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION</b>	1,685,185	1,763,043
Total liabilities	9,642,551	11,929,728

**COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES** (Notes 2, 11 and 12)

**STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:**

Common stock, \$.01 par value, 75,000,000 shares authorized; 9,807,101 and 9,052,385 shares issued, respectively; and 8,880,101 and 8,125,385 outstanding, respectively	98,070	90,523
Additional paid-in capital	13,001,447	12,116,487
Retained earnings (accumulated deficit)	(10,255,487)	727,783
Treasury stock, 927,000 shares, each period, at cost	(1,966,892)	(1,966,892)
Total stockholders' equity	877,138	10,967,901
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 10,519,689	\$ 22,897,629

*See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.*

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

	DECEMBER 31,	
	2015	2014
<b>REVENUE:</b>		
Oil and natural gas sales	\$ 3,868,842	\$ 9,106,483
Well operational and pumping fees	5,047	25,011
Disposal fees	<u>95,586</u>	<u>100,803</u>
Total revenue	3,969,475	9,232,297
<b>COSTS AND EXPENSES:</b>		
Production expense	3,025,721	3,925,481
Depletion and depreciation	2,417,200	2,626,300
Exploration expense	18,107	462,302
Impairment of oil and natural gas properties	10,057,633	3,085,787
Accretion of discount on asset retirement obligations	106,000	104,000
General and administrative	<u>1,374,737</u>	<u>1,741,475</u>
Total costs and expenses	16,999,398	11,945,345
<b>OPERATING LOSS</b>	(13,029,923)	(2,713,048)
<b>OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE):</b>		
Interest income	789	1,219
Interest expense	(257,705)	(263,060)
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	393,569	(882)
Unrealized gain on commodity derivatives	-	4,000
Warrant modification expense	(66,124)	-
Miscellaneous	<u>15,878</u>	<u>6,325</u>
Total other income (expense)	86,407	(252,398)
<b>LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>	(12,943,516)	(2,965,446)
<b>INCOME TAX EXPENSE – CURRENT</b>	(5,754)	(1,000)
<b>INCOME TAX BENEFIT – DEFERRED</b>	<u>1,966,000</u>	<u>1,018,506</u>
<b>TOTAL INCOME TAX BENEFIT</b>	<u>1,960,246</u>	<u>1,017,506</u>
<b>NET LOSS</b>	<u>\$ (10,983,270)</u>	<u>\$ (1,947,940)</u>
<b>LOSS PER SHARE:</b>		
<b>BASIC</b>	<u>\$ (1.30)</u>	<u>\$ (0.24)</u>
<b>DILUTED</b>	<u>\$ (1.30)</u>	<u>\$ (0.24)</u>
<b>WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:</b>		
<b>BASIC</b>	<u>8,445,571</u>	<u>8,095,731</u>
<b>DILUTED</b>	<u>8,445,571</u>	<u>8,095,731</u>

*See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.*

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014

	Common Stock		Additional	Retained	Treasury Stock		Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-in Capital	Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Shares	Amount	
<b>BALANCES,</b> January 1, 2014	8,993,336	\$ 89,933	\$ 11,751,298	\$ 2,675,723	927,000	\$ (1,966,892)	\$ 12,550,062
Common stock issued from exercise of warrants	49,049	490	195,705	-	-	-	196,195
Stock compensation	10,000	100	169,484	-	-	-	169,584
Net loss	-	-	-	(1,947,940)	-	-	(1,947,940)
<b>BALANCES,</b> December 31, 2014	9,052,385	90,523	12,116,487	727,783	927,000	(1,966,892)	10,967,901
Common stock issued from exercise of warrants	734,716	7,347	727,369	-	-	-	734,716
Stock compensation	20,000	200	91,467	-	-	-	91,667
Warrant modification			66,124				66,124
Net loss	-	-	-	(10,983,270)	-	-	(10,983,270)
<b>BALANCES,</b> December 31, 2015	<u>9,807,101</u>	<u>\$ 98,070</u>	<u>\$ 13,001,447</u>	<u>\$ (10,255,487)</u>	<u>927,000</u>	<u>\$ (1,966,892)</u>	<u>\$ 877,138</u>

*See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.*

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	DECEMBER 31,	
	2015	2014
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (10,983,270)	\$ (1,947,940)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Unrealized gain on commodity derivatives	-	(4,000)
Depletion and depreciation	2,417,200	2,626,300
Exploration expense	18,107	462,302
Impairment of oil and natural gas properties	10,057,633	3,085,787
Deferred income tax benefit	(1,966,000)	(1,018,506)
Accretion of discount on asset retirement obligations	106,000	104,000
Stock compensation expense	91,667	169,584
Warrant modification expense	66,124	-
Changes in current assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	141,488	406,016
Income taxes receivable	327,044	78,117
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	6,796	(43,281)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	273,325	(241,792)
Oil and natural gas revenues payable	143,673	75,366
Other	-	13,906
Net cash provided by operating activities	699,787	3,765,859
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Additions to oil and natural gas properties and other equipment	(234,517)	(6,132,396)
Proceeds from the sale of oil and natural gas properties	20,000	-
Proceeds from certificates of deposit	30,815	-
Net cash used in investing activities	(183,702)	(6,132,396)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>		
Proceeds from line of credit	-	500,000
Payments on line of credit	(761,667)	-
Proceeds from the exercise of warrants	734,716	196,195
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	(26,951)	696,195
<b>NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	489,134	(1,670,342)
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year</b>	978,145	2,648,487
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of the year</b>	\$ 1,467,279	\$ 978,145
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:</b>		
Cash paid during the year for interest	\$ 256,730	\$ 258,254
Cash paid during the year for income taxes	\$ 13,843	\$ 48,007
Change in accrued capital expenditures	\$ 15,492	\$ 46,030

*See accompanying notes to these consolidated financial statements.*

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

*Organization and Nature of Operations*

FieldPoint Petroleum Corporation (the “Company”, “we” or “our”) is incorporated under the laws of the state of Colorado. We are engaged in the acquisition, operation and development of oil and natural gas properties, which are located in Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Central Texas and Wyoming as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

*Consolidation Policy and Basis of Presentation*

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and include the accounts of the Company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, Bass Petroleum, Inc., and Raya Energy Corp. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

*Cash and Cash Equivalents*

We consider all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. At times, we maintain deposit balances in excess of FDIC insurance limits. We have not experienced any losses in such accounts and believe we are not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

*Certificates of Deposit*

Certificates of deposit have original maturities ranging from three months to one year and are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet in current assets. Changes in fair value during the period are classified as realized or unrealized holding gains in other income.

*Oil and Natural Gas Properties*

Our oil and natural gas properties consisted of the following at December 31:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Mineral interests in properties:		
Unproved properties	\$ 850,000	\$ 850,000
Proved properties	13,422,072	13,399,016
Wells and related equipment and facilities	<u>26,813,442</u>	<u>26,624,596</u>
Total costs	41,085,514	40,873,612
Less accumulated depletion, depreciation and impairment	<u>(32,921,686)</u>	<u>(20,466,053)</u>
	<u>\$ 8,163,828</u>	<u>\$ 20,407,559</u>

We follow the successful efforts method of accounting for our oil and natural gas producing activities. Costs to acquire mineral interests in oil and natural gas properties, to drill and equip exploratory wells that find proved reserves, to drill and equip development wells and related asset retirement costs are capitalized. Costs to drill exploratory wells are capitalized pending determination of whether the wells have found proved reserves. If we determine that the wells have not found proved reserves, the costs are charged to expense. There were no exploratory wells capitalized pending determinations of whether the wells found proved reserves at December 31, 2015 or 2014. Geological and geophysical costs, including seismic studies and costs of carrying and retaining unproved properties are charged to expense as incurred.

## ***FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION***

### **NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

We capitalize interest on expenditures for significant exploration and development projects that last more than six months while activities are in progress to bring the assets to their intended use. Through December 31, 2015, we have capitalized no interest costs because our exploration and development projects generally last less than six months. Costs to maintain wells and related equipment are charged to expense as incurred.

On the sale or retirement of a complete unit of a proved property, the cost and related accumulated depletion and depreciation are eliminated from the property accounts, and the resulting gain or loss is recognized. On the sale of a partial unit of proved property, the amount received is treated as a reduction of the cost of the interest retained.

Capitalized amounts attributable to proved oil and natural gas properties are depleted by the unit-of-production method of proved reserves using the unit conversion ratio of 6 Mcf of gas to 1 bbl of oil. Depletion and depreciation expense for oil and natural gas producing property and related equipment was \$2,398,000 and \$2,617,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Unproved oil and natural gas properties that are individually significant are periodically assessed for impairment of value, and a loss is recognized at the time of impairment by providing an impairment allowance. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recorded an impairment on our unproved oil and natural gas properties of \$562,500. No impairment of unproved properties was recorded during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Capitalized costs related to proved oil and natural gas properties, including wells and related equipment and facilities, are evaluated for impairment based on an analysis of undiscounted future net cash flows. If undiscounted cash flows are insufficient to recover the net capitalized costs related to proved properties, then we recognize an impairment charge in income from operations equal to the difference between the net capitalized costs related to proved properties and their estimated fair values based on the present value of the related future net cash flows, which is a non-recurring fair value measurement classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. We recorded an impairment on our proved oil and natural gas properties of \$9,495,133 and \$3,085,787 during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

On the sale of an entire interest in an unproved property for cash or cash equivalent, gain or loss on the sale is recognized, taking into consideration the amount of any recorded impairment if the property had been assessed individually. If a partial interest in an unproved property is sold, the amount received is treated as a reduction of the cost of the interest retained.

#### ***Oil and Natural Gas Sales Receivable***

Oil and natural gas sales receivable principally consist of accrued oil and natural gas sales proceeds receivable and are typically collected within 35 days from the end of the month in which the related quantities are produced. We ordinarily do not require collateral for such receivables, nor do we charge interest on past due balances. We periodically review accounts receivable for collectability and reduce the carrying amount of the accounts receivable by an allowance. No such increase in the allowance was indicated at December 31, 2015 or 2014. As of December 31, 2015, our accounts receivable were primarily with several independent purchasers of our crude oil and natural gas production. At December 31, 2015, we had balances due from three customers which were greater than 10% of our accounts receivable related to crude oil and natural gas production. These three customers accounted for 73% of accounts receivable at December 31, 2015. As of December 31, 2014, our accounts receivable were

## ***FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION***

### **NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

primarily with several independent purchasers of our crude oil and natural gas production. At December 31, 2014, we had balances due from three customers which were greater than 10% of our accounts receivable related to crude oil and natural gas production. These three customers accounted for 70% of accounts receivable at December 31, 2014. In the event that one or more of these significant customers cease doing business with us, we believe that there are potential alternative customers with whom we could establish new relationships and that those relationships will result in the replacement of one or more lost customers.

#### **Joint Interest Billings Receivable and Oil and Natural Gas Revenues Payable**

Joint interest billings receivable represent amounts receivable for lease operating expenses and other costs due from third party working interest owners in the wells that the Company operates. The receivable is recognized when the cost is incurred and the related payable and the Company's share of the cost is recorded. We often have the ability to offset amounts due against the participant's share of production from the related property.

The Company uses the reserve for bad debt method of valuing doubtful joint interest billings receivable based on historical experience, coupled with a review of the current status of existing receivables. The balance of the reserve for doubtful accounts, deducted against joint interest billings receivable to properly reflect the realizable value was approximately \$237,000 and \$174,000 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Oil and natural gas revenues payable represents amounts due to third party revenue interest owners for their share of oil and natural gas revenue collected on their behalf by the Company. The payable is recorded when the Company recognizes oil and natural gas sales and records the related oil and natural gas sales receivable.

#### **Other Property**

Other assets classified as property and equipment are primarily office furniture and equipment and vehicles, which are carried at cost. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives ranging from three to five years. Gain or loss on retirement or sale or other disposition of assets is included in income in the period of disposition. Depreciation expense for other property and equipment was \$19,200 and \$9,300 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

#### **Asset Retirement Obligations**

Our financial statements reflect our asset retirement obligations, consisting of future plugging and abandonment expenditures related to our oil and natural gas properties, which can be reasonably estimated. The asset retirement obligation is recorded at fair value on a discounted basis as a liability at the asset's inception, with an offsetting increase to producing properties on the consolidated balance sheets. Significant inputs to determining fair value include applying a credit adjusted risk free rate which is a level 3 measurement in the fair value hierarchy. Periodic accretion of the discount of the estimated liability is recorded as an expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following is a reconciliation of the Company's asset retirement obligations for the years ended December 31:

	2015	2014
Asset retirement obligation at January 1,	\$ 1,763,043	\$ 1,712,685
Accretion of discount	106,000	104,000
Liabilities incurred during the year	-	20,000
Liabilities settled during the year	(56,063)	(73,642)
Asset retirement obligation at December 31,	1,812,980	1,763,043
Less: current asset retirement obligations	(127,795)	-
Long-term asset retirement obligations	\$ 1,685,185	\$ 1,763,043

Income Taxes

Income taxes are provided for the tax effects of transactions reported in the financial statements and consist of taxes currently due, if any, plus net deferred taxes related to differences between the bases of assets and liabilities for financial and income tax reporting. Deferred tax assets and liabilities represent the future tax consequences of those differences, which will either be taxable or deductible when the assets and liabilities are recovered or settled. Valuation allowances are recognized to limit recognition of deferred tax assets where appropriate. Such allowances may be reversed when circumstances provide evidence that the deferred tax assets will more likely than not be realized.

Production Taxes and Ad Valorem Taxes

Production taxes and ad valorem taxes are included in production expense. Total production and ad valorem taxes were \$439,198 and \$895,523 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Use of Estimates and Certain Significant Estimates

The preparation of the Company's financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company's management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in these financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant assumptions are required in the valuation of proved oil and natural gas reserves, which as described above may affect the amount at which oil and natural gas properties are recorded. The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts is a significant estimate and is based on management's estimates of uncollectible receivables. The asset retirement obligations require estimates of future plugging and abandonment expenditures. It is at least reasonably possible these estimates could be revised in the near term and the revisions could be material.

Our estimates of proved reserves materially impact depletion and impairment expense. If proved reserves decline, then the rate at which we record depletion expense increases, reducing net income. A decline in estimates of proved reserves may result from lower prices, evaluation of additional operating history, mechanical problems at our wells and catastrophic events such as explosions, hurricanes and floods. Lower prices also may make it uneconomical to drill wells or produce from fields with high operating costs. In addition, a decline in proved reserves may impact our assessment of our oil and natural gas properties for impairment.

## ***FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION***

### **NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Our proved reserve estimates are a function of many assumptions, all of which could deviate materially from actual results. As such, reserve estimates may vary materially from the ultimate quantities of oil and natural gas actually produced.

#### **Revenue Recognition**

The Company uses the sales method of accounting for oil and natural gas revenues. Under this method, revenues are based on actual volumes of oil and natural gas sold to purchasers. The volumes of natural gas sold may differ from the volumes to which the Company is entitled based on its interest in the properties. Differences between volumes sold and volumes based on entitlements create natural gas imbalances. Material imbalances are reflected as adjustments to reported natural gas reserves and future cash flows. There were no material natural gas imbalances as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

We recognize revenue when crude oil and natural gas quantities are delivered to or collected by the respective purchaser. Title to the produced quantities transfers to the purchaser at the time the purchaser receives or collects the quantities. Prices for such production are defined in sales contracts and are readily determinable based on certain publicly available indices. The purchasers of such production have historically made payment for crude oil and natural gas purchases within thirty-five days of the end of each production month. We periodically review the difference between the dates of production and the dates we collect payment for such production to ensure that accounts receivable from those purchasers are collectible.

As previously discussed, we sold our crude oil and natural gas production to several independent purchasers. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we had sales of 10% or more of our total oil and natural gas sales revenue to three customers which represented 73% of total oil and natural gas sales revenue for the year. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we had sales of 10% or more of our total oil and natural gas sales revenue to three customers which represented 72% of total oil and natural gas sales revenue for the year.

#### **Comprehensive Income**

The Company has no elements of comprehensive income other than net income.

#### **Share-Based Compensation**

We measure and record compensation expense for all share-based payment awards to employees and directors based on estimated fair values. Additionally, compensation costs for share-based awards are recognized over the requisite grant-date service period based on the grant-date fair value.

#### **Financial Instruments**

The Company's financial instruments are cash, certificates of deposit, accounts receivable and payable and long-term debt. Management believes the fair values of these instruments, with the exception of the long-term debt, approximate the carrying values, due to the short-term nature of the instruments. Management believes the fair value of long-term debt also reasonably approximates its carrying value, based on expected cash flows and interest rates.

#### **Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, "Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs", which requires debt issuance costs to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the associated debt liability, consistent with the presentation of a debt discount. The guidance is effective on a

## ***FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION***

### **NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

retrospective basis for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods within that reporting period, with early adoption permitted. The Company currently does not expect the adoption of the updated standard to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09 on revenue from contracts with customers. Under this new standard, revenue is recognized at the time a good or service is transferred to a customer for the amount of consideration received for that specific good or service. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, which defers the effective date of ASU 2014-09 for one year to fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. We are currently evaluating the impact, if any, that this standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-15 – Presentation of Financial Statements – Going Concern that requires management to evaluate whether there are conditions or events that raise substantial doubt about an entity’s ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the entity’s financial statements are issued, or within one year after the date that the entity’s financial statements are available to be issued, and to provide disclosures when certain criteria are met. This guidance is effective for the annual period ending after December 15, 2016, and for annual periods and interim periods thereafter. Early application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the provisions of this guidance and assessing its impact.

In November 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2015-17 – Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes that simplifies the presentation of deferred income taxes on the balance sheet. Under the new standard, deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified as noncurrent on the balance sheet. This new update is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016 (and interim periods within those fiscal years), with early adoption permitted and allows prospective or retrospective application. As the Company adopted this accounting standard update prospectively as of January 1, 2016, the adoption of this standard had no impact on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2015.

## **2. LIQUIDITY AND GOING CONCERN**

Our accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern, which contemplates realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business for the twelve-month period following the date of these consolidated financial statements. Continued low oil and natural gas prices during 2015 have had a significant adverse impact on our business, and as a result of our financial condition, substantial doubt exists that we will be able to continue as a going concern.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company has a working capital deficit of approximately \$5,642,000 primarily due to the classification our line of credit as a current liability. The line of credit provides for certain financial covenants and ratios measured quarterly which include a current ratio, leverage ratio, and interest coverage ratio requirements. The Company is out of compliance with all three ratios as of December 31, 2015, and we do not expect to regain compliance in 2016 without an amendment to our credit agreement. We continue to negotiate with Citibank for an amended credit agreement and waiver of covenants that they may not grant. Furthermore, we are currently in technical default of the agreement. Unless and until such amendment or waiver is granted, Citibank could require us to pay off the note and

## ***FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION***

### **NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

we would need to secure alternative financing in the debt or equity market which, may or may not be available.

Citibank is in a first lien position on all of our properties. We are current on all interest payments but Citibank lowered our borrowing base from \$11,000,000 to \$5,500,000 on December 1, 2015. As a result of the redetermination of the credit base, the Company had a borrowing base deficiency in the amount of \$1,495,000 on December 1, 2015. As an election under the Loan Agreement, the Company agreed to pay and cure the deficiency in three equal monthly installments of \$498,333 each, due on December 31, 2015, January 31, 2016 and February 29, 2016. We made our first required deficiency payment in the amount of \$516,667 on December 29, 2015, reducing our loan balance to \$6,478,333 and our borrowing base deficiency to \$978,333 as of December 31, 2015. However, we have not made the required deficiency payments in January or February 2016.

To mitigate our current financial situation, we are meeting with investors for possible equity investments, we have filed a shelf S-3 that is not yet effective and pending approval by the SEC that will permit a limited at the market (ATM) capital raise, and we are investigating other sources of capital.

Our ability to continue as a “going concern” is dependent on many factors, including, among other things, our ability to comply with the covenants in our existing debt agreements, our ability to cure any defaults that occur under our debt agreements or to obtain waivers or forbearances with respect to any such defaults, and our ability to pay, retire, amend, replace or refinance our indebtedness as defaults occur or as interest and principal payments come due. Our ability to continue as a going concern is also dependent on raising additional capital to fund our operations and ultimately on generating future profitable operations. While we are actively involved in seeking new sources of working capital, there can be no assurance that we will be able to raise sufficient additional capital or continue to have positive cash flow from operations to address all of our cash flow needs. Additional capital could be on terms that are highly dilutive to our shareholders. If we are not able to find alternative sources of cash or generate positive cash flow from operations, our business and shareholders may be materially and adversely affected.

### **3. OIL AND NATURAL GAS PROPERTIES**

The Company made no purchases of oil and natural gas properties during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

During 2015, the Company did not drill or complete any development wells. During 2014, the Company drilled and completed four successful development wells in Texas.

On June 1, 2014, the Company executed and closed on the first transaction with Riley Exploration Group, LLC ("Riley"). Pursuant to the terms of the exploration agreement FieldPoint assigned 6 net Taylor sand wells in the Serbin Field to Riley in return for 7 net wells in the same field. In addition, FieldPoint assigned to Riley 240 net acres in exchange for 239 net acres. On July 1, 2014, the Company executed a second closing with Riley. Pursuant to the terms of the exploration agreement FieldPoint assigned 23 net Taylor sand wells in the Serbin Field to Riley in return for 20 net wells in the same field. In addition, FieldPoint assigned Riley 759 net acres in exchange for 760 net acres. Both Riley transactions were a non-cash contribution of interests with substantially the same fair value. Operations in the field transferred from FieldPoint to Riley for these leases. FieldPoint and Riley do not plan to jointly re-develop these leases by plugging the legacy wells and drilling new horizontal wells until commodity prices increase.

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

During 2014, we unsuccessfully recompleted the University Z2 well in Andrews County, Texas. We recognized exploration expense of \$18,107 and \$462,302 during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The Company recorded impairment charges of \$10,057,633 and \$3,085,787 during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, as a result of writing down the carrying value of certain properties to fair value. In order to determine the amounts of the impairment charges, the Company compares net capitalized costs of proved oil and natural gas properties to estimated undiscounted future net cash flows using management's expectations of economically recoverable proved reserves. If the net capitalized cost exceeds the undiscounted future net cash flows, the Company impairs the net cost basis down to the discounted future net cash flows, which is management's estimate of fair value. In order to determine the fair value, the Company estimates reserves, future operating and development costs, future commodity prices and a discounted cash flow model utilizing a 10 percent discount rate. The estimates used by management for the fair value measurements utilized in this review include significant unobservable inputs, and therefore, the fair value measurements are classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

**4. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

There were no related party transactions during the year ended December 31, 2015.

The Company leased office space from the estate of its former president through January 2014 for \$2,500 a month. Beginning February 1, 2014, the Company no longer rents office space from the estate.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company incurred expenses of \$102,562 to a relative of a Board member for petroleum engineering services. There were no comparable expenses during the year ended December 31, 2015.

**5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

The Company follows fair value measurement authoritative guidance, which defines fair value, establishes a framework for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The authoritative accounting guidance defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The statement establishes a hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the reliability of the inputs as follows:

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

- Level 1 – Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reporting date.
- Level 2: Quoted prices in active markets for similar assets and liabilities, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-derived valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable.
- Level 3 – Pricing inputs include significant inputs that are generally less observable from objective sources. These inputs may be used with internally developed methodologies that result in management’s best estimate of fair value. The fair value of oil and gas properties used in estimating our recognized impairment loss represents a non-recurring level 3 measurement.

Financial and non-financial assets and liabilities are to be classified based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company’s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and may affect the valuation of the fair value of assets and liabilities and their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.

The following tables present the Company’s financial and non-financial assets and liabilities that were accounted for at fair value as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and their classification within the fair value hierarchy:

	As of December 31, 2015		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Proved properties <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 5,955,513
Unproved properties <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 287,500
	As of December 31, 2014		
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Proved properties <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,738,240
Unproved properties <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

---

(1) This represents non-financial assets that are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis due to impairments. This is the fair value of the asset base that was subjected to impairment and does not reflect the entire asset balance as presented on the accompanying balance sheets. Please refer to the *Proved Oil and Gas Properties* and *Unproved Oil and Gas Properties* sections below for additional discussion.

## **FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

### **NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

#### Proved Oil and Gas Properties

Proved oil and natural gas properties are evaluated for impairment and reduced to fair value whenever events and circumstances indicate the carrying value exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows. We estimate the expected net future cash flows of our oil and natural gas properties using management's expectations of economically recoverable proved reserves and compare such future net cash flows to the carrying amount of our oil and natural gas properties to determine if the carrying amount is recoverable. If the carrying amount exceeds the estimated undiscounted future cash flows, we adjust the carrying amount of the oil and natural gas properties to their fair value. We estimated the fair value of the proved oil and gas properties and equipment using a discounted cash flow model, which is a non-recurring Level 3 fair value measurement. Significant inputs used to determine the fair value include estimates of (i) future sales prices for oil and gas based on NYMEX strip prices, (ii) pricing adjustments for differentials, (iii) production costs, (iv) capital expenditures, (v) future oil and gas reserves to be recovered and the timing thereof, and (vi) discount rate. The Company impaired ten proved oil and gas properties which had a total carrying value of \$15,450,646 to the fair value of \$5,955,513 for the year ended December 31, 2015. The Company impaired six proved oil and gas properties which had a total carrying value of \$6,824,027 to the fair value of \$3,738,240 for the year ended December 31, 2014.

#### Unproved Oil and Gas Properties

Unproved oil and gas property costs are evaluated for impairment and reduced to fair value when there is an indication that the carrying costs may not be fully recoverable. The fair value of unproved oil and gas properties used in estimating our recognized impairment loss represents a non-recurring level 3 measurement. To measure the fair value of unproved properties, the Company used inputs including, but not limited to, future development plans, risk weighted potential resource recovery, remaining lease life and estimated reserve values. We impaired three unproved oil and gas properties which had a total carrying value of \$850,000 to the fair value of \$287,500 for the year ended December 31, 2015. The carrying value equaled the fair market value of the unproved oil and gas properties as of December 31, 2014.

#### Derivatives

Our derivatives, which consist of commodity collars, are valued using commodity market data which is derived by combining raw inputs and quantitative models and processes to generate forward curves. These models are primarily industry-standard models that consider various assumptions, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value, volatility factors and current market and contractual prices for the underlying instruments, as well as other relevant economic measures. Where observable inputs are available, directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability, the instrument is categorized in Level 2. At December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had no Level 2 measurements.

#### **6. COMMODITY DERIVATIVES**

No commodity positions to hedge our oil production price risk were outstanding at December 31, 2015 or 2014.

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following table summarizes the change in fair value of our commodity derivatives:

	Income Statement Location	12 Months Ended December 31,	
		2015	2014
<b>Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments</b>			
Unrealized gain on commodity derivatives	Other Income (Expense)	\$ -	\$ 4,000
Realized gain (loss) on commodity derivatives	Other Income (Expense)	\$ 393,569	\$ (882)

Unrealized gains and losses, at fair value, are included on our consolidated balance sheets as current or non-current assets or liabilities based on the anticipated timing of cash settlements under the related contracts. Changes in the fair value of our commodity derivative contracts are recorded in earnings as they occur and included in other income (expense) on our consolidated statements of operations. We estimate the fair values of collar contracts based on the present value of the difference in exchange-quoted forward price curves and contractual settlement prices multiplied by notional quantities. We internally valued the option contracts using industry-standard option pricing models and observable market inputs. We use our internal valuations to determine the fair values of the contracts that are reflected on our consolidated balance sheets. Realized gains and losses are also included in other income (expense) on our consolidated statements of operations.

We are exposed to credit losses in the event of non-performance by the counterparties on our commodity derivatives positions and have considered the exposure in our internal valuations. However, we do not anticipate non-performance by the counterparties over the term of the commodity derivatives positions. To estimate the fair value of our commodity derivatives positions, we use market data or assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk and the risks inherent in the inputs to the valuation technique. These inputs can be readily observable, market corroborated or generally unobservable. We primarily apply the market approach for recurring fair value measurements and attempt to use the best available information.

**7. LINE OF CREDIT**

The Company has a line of credit with a bank with a borrowing base of \$5,500,000 at December 31, 2015 and \$11,000,00 at December 31, 2014. The amount outstanding under this line of credit was \$6,478,333 which is \$978,333 over the borrowing base at December 31, 2015. The amount outstanding under this line of credit was \$7,240,000 at December 31, 2014.

The credit line was amended on March 19, 2014 on substantially identical terms, except that the requirement for a personal guarantee by the former President and CEO was removed, and the maturity date was extended to October 18, 2016. The agreement requires quarterly interest-only payments until maturity on October 18, 2016. The interest rate is based on a LIBOR or Prime option. The Prime option provides for the interest rate to be prime plus a margin ranging between 1.75% and 2.25% and the LIBOR option to be the 3-month LIBOR rate plus a margin ranging between 2.75% and 3.25%, both depending on the

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

borrowing base usage. Currently, we have elected the LIBOR interest rate option in which our interest rate was approximately 3.5% as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The commitment fee is .50% of the unused borrowing base.

The line of credit provides for certain financial covenants and ratios which include a current ratio that cannot be less than 1.10:1.00, a leverage ratio that cannot be more than 3.50:1.00, and an interest coverage ratio that cannot be less than 3.50:1.00. The Company is out of compliance with all three ratios as of December 31, 2015, and is in technical default of the agreement. We continue to negotiate with Citibank for an amended credit agreement and waiver of covenants that they may not grant. Unless and until such amendment or waiver is granted, Citibank could require us to pay off the note and we would need to secure alternative financing in the debt or equity market which, may or may not be available. Citibank is in a first lien position on all of our properties.

As a result of the redetermination of the credit base, the Company had a borrowing base deficiency in the amount of \$1,495,000 on December 1, 2015. As an election under the Loan Agreement, the Company agreed to pay and cure the deficiency in three equal monthly installments of \$498,333 each, due on December 31, 2015, January 31, 2016 and February 29, 2016. We made our first required deficiency payment in the amount of \$516,667 on December 29, 2015, reducing our loan balance to \$6,478,333 and our borrowing base deficiency to \$978,333 as of December 31, 2015. However, we have not made the required deficiency payments in January or February 2016.

The Company's plans to cure the borrowing base deficiency are discussed in Note 2 – Liquidity and Going Concern.

In addition to our revolving line of credit with Citibank, N.A., the Company also has two irrevocable standby letters of credit with Citibank securing our well plugging liabilities of various operated wells in the amounts of \$25,000 and \$30,000. These letters of credit must be renewed every year and were most recently renewed in May 2015.

**8. INCOME TAXES**

Our provision for income taxes comprised the following (expense) benefit during the years ended December 31:

	2015	2014
Current:		
Federal	\$ -	\$ -
State	(5,754)	(1,000)
Total current	(5,754)	(1,000)
Deferred:		
Federal	1,760,000	1,008,000
State	206,000	10,506
Total deferred	1,966,000	1,018,506
Total income tax provision	\$ 1,960,246	\$ 1,017,506

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Total income tax (expense) benefit differed from the amounts computed by applying the U.S. Federal statutory tax rates and estimated state rates to pre-tax income for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 as follows:

	2015	2014
Statutory rate (benefit)	(34%)	(34%)
State taxes, net of federal benefit	(2%)	0%
Change in valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	21%	0%
Effective rate (benefit)	(15%)	(34%)

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are the result of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying values and tax bases of assets and liabilities. Significant components of net deferred tax assets and liabilities are:

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Deferred tax assets:		
Asset retirement obligation	\$ 663,000	\$ 640,000
Allowance for doubtful accounts	87,000	63,000
Stock compensation and other	25,000	34,000
Alternative minimum tax credit carryforward	157,000	128,000
Difference in depreciation, depletion and capitalization methods – oil and gas properties	897,000	-
Net operating loss carryforward	876,000	1,001,000
Total deferred tax assets	2,705,000	1,866,000
Valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	(2,705,000)	-
Total deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance	-	1,866,000
Deferred tax liability:		
Difference in depreciation, depletion and capitalization methods – oil and gas properties	-	(3,832,000)
Total deferred tax liabilities	-	(3,832,000)
Net deferred tax liability	\$ -	\$(1,966,000)

Our net deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded as follows:

	2015	2014
Current asset	\$ -	\$ 98,000
Non-current liability	-	(2,064,000)
Total	\$ -	\$ (1,966,000)

The Company had no material uncertain tax positions as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

At December 31, 2015, the Company expects to have net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$2.5 million which expire at various dates from December 31, 2034 to 2035.

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The Company recorded impairment expense of \$10,057,633 on our proved and unproved oil and natural gas properties during the year ended December 31, 2015. As a result, our deferred tax assets exceeded our deferred tax liabilities. Since it is more likely than not that the tax benefits will not be utilized, the Company established a valuation allowance of \$2,705,000 against our deferred tax assets.

The Company's policy regarding income tax interest and penalties is to record those items as general and administrative expense. During the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, there were no significant income tax interest and penalty items in the income statement, nor as a liability on the balance sheet at December 31, 2015 and 2014.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction and various state jurisdictions. Generally, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or state income tax examination by tax authorities for years before 2012. The Company is not currently involved in any income tax examinations.

**9. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE**

Basic earnings per share are computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the year. Diluted earnings per share take common stock equivalents (such as options and warrants) into consideration using the treasury stock method. The Company distributed warrants as a dividend to stockholders as of the record date, March 23, 2012. The Company had 7,177,010 and 7,911,726 warrants outstanding with an exercise price of \$4.00 at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. During the year ending December 31, 2014, 476,989 shares were excluded from the dilutive weighted average share calculation because the shares would have had an anti-dilutive effect. During the year ending December 31, 2015, no shares were excluded from the dilutive weighted average share calculation. The dilutive effect of the warrants for the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 is presented below.

	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Net loss	<u>\$ (10,983,270)</u>	<u>\$ (1,947,940)</u>
Weighted average common stock outstanding	8,445,571	8,095,731
Weighted average dilutive effect of stock warrants	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Dilutive weighted average shares	<u>8,445,571</u>	<u>8,095,731</u>
Loss per share:		
Basic	<u>\$ (1.30)</u>	<u>\$ (0.24)</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ (1.30)</u>	<u>\$ (0.24)</u>

**10. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

We approved a stock warrant dividend of one warrant per one common share in March 2012. The warrants have an exercise price of \$4.00 and are exercisable over 6 years from the record date. The Company has the right to call the warrants in the future if the market price of the common stock exceeds 150% of the exercise price of the warrant (\$6.00). On June 24, 2015, the Board of Directors announced that it had

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

approved a temporary reduction to the exercise price of its publicly traded warrants to \$1.00 per share. This was a temporary modification for a period of 33 days commencing at the opening of trading on July 6, 2015, and ending at the close of trading on August 7, 2015. Any and all warrants that remained unexercised after August 7, 2015, remain in full force and effect for the duration of their term with the initial exercise price of \$4.00 per share.

The fair value of the change in exercise price of the warrants of \$66,124 was reported as other expense and increased additional paid in capital during the year ended December 31, 2015. The fair value of the temporary modification of the exercise price was calculated by multiplying the number of warrants actually exercised during the temporary modification period by the change in the value of the warrants immediately before and immediately after the announcement of the reduction in exercise price.

The following table summarizes the warrant activity for the year ending December 31, 2015:

	Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Expected Life (Years)
Outstanding, December 31, 2014	7,911,726	\$ 4.00	3.25
Issued	-	-	
Exercised	-	\$ 4.00	
Exercised during temporary modification period	(734,716)	\$ 1.00	
Expired	-	-	
Outstanding, December 31, 2015	<u>7,177,010</u>	\$ 4.00	2.25

The Company entered into an “at will” employment agreement with Phillip Roberson as President and CFO for a three year period beginning July 1, 2014. As a signing bonus, Mr. Roberson is entitled to receive a total of 50,000 shares of common stock, of which 10,000 shares were immediately vested. Ten thousand shares will be received and vested at each of the six month, twelve month, eighteen month, and twenty four month anniversary dates of the commencement date. The fair value of this stock grant was \$275,000 of which \$91,667 and \$169,584 was recognized as non-cash stock compensation expense during the twelve months ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The remaining future expense related to this stock grant is \$13,749 and is expected to be recognized over the weighted average expected life of less than one year. Once the signing bonus grant has been fully vested and paid, Mr. Roberson will be entitled to receive, as part of his annual compensation, on his third anniversary date 5,000 shares, on his fourth anniversary date 6,000 shares, on his fifth anniversary date 7,000 shares, on his sixth anniversary date 8,000 shares, on his seventh anniversary date 9,000 shares, and each annual anniversary date thereafter 10,000 shares.

**11. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES**

We are engaged in oil and natural gas exploration and production and may become subject to certain liabilities as they relate to environmental cleanup of well sites or other environmental restoration procedures as they relate to the drilling of oil and natural gas wells and the operation thereof. In our acquisition of existing or previously drilled well bores, we may not be aware of what environmental safeguards were taken at the time such wells were drilled or during such time the wells were operated.

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Should it be determined that a liability exists with respect to any environmental clean up or restoration, the liability to cure such a violation could fall upon the Company. No claim has been made, nor are we aware of any liability which we may have, as it relates to any environmental cleanup, restoration or the violation of any rules or regulations relating thereto.

**12. COMMITMENTS**

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had a \$30,000 outstanding standby letter of credit in favor of the State of Wyoming as a plugging bond. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had a \$25,000 outstanding letter of credit in the favor of the Bureau of Land Management. Citibank may restrict \$60,000 in cash to cover our plugging bond line of credit since the Company has a borrowing base deficiency on our line of credit as of December 31, 2015.

In January 2014, the Company entered into a two year operating lease for office space in Austin, Texas, which was renewed for another two years until January 31, 2018. Rent expense under this lease was approximately \$36,760 and \$33,000 for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Minimum future rentals on this non-cancelable operating lease as of December 31, 2015, are as follows:

2016	\$ 41,019
2017	42,518
2018	<u>3,551</u>
	<u>\$ 87,088</u>

On November 12, 2013, we engaged Stephens, Inc. (“Stephens”) to provide general financial and Investment Banking advice. Pursuant to the agreement we began paying Stephens a retainer of \$10,000 per month, paid monthly in advance beginning January 1, 2014. The agreement can be terminated by either party with thirty days’ notice with no further obligation for a monthly retainer. The agreement provides for success fees in the range of 1% to 7% depending on the nature of the transaction. Furthermore, any amounts paid for the retainer would be credited against any investment banking fees due in the event of a successful transaction. On July 3, 2014, we amended the original agreement to extend it to May 12, 2015, and Stephens agreed to waive all future monthly retainer payments. All previous agreed upon terms of the Original Engagement were still effective. On June 3, 2015, the agreement was extended six months to November 12, 2015, on substantially the same terms. However, Stephens terminated the agreement as of October 8, 2015.

The Company entered into an “at will” employment agreement with Phillip Roberson as President and CFO for a three year period beginning July 1, 2014, with a beginning base salary of \$200,000 annually. Beginning January 1, 2015, the Board of Directors may in its sole discretion award an annual performance based bonus award to Mr. Roberson.

At the October 23, 2015, meeting the Board adopted a measure effective as of January 1, 2016, to temporarily accept voluntary reductions in annual retainers for executive and all non-executive directors by a total of approximately \$75,000 per year until such time as economic conditions shall improve and the Board determines that the voluntary reductions shall cease. All of these voluntary reductions shall be retroactively reinstated and payable in the case of (and only in the case of) a Change of Control Event.

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Occasionally, we are involved in various legal and regulatory proceedings arising in the normal course of business. Management cannot predict the outcome of these proceedings with certainty and does not believe that an adverse result would be material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

**13. OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES**

The following table sets forth the costs incurred for oil and natural gas property activities of the Company:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Costs incurred in oil and natural gas producing activities:		
Acquisition of unproved properties	\$ -	\$ -
Acquisition of proved properties	-	-
Exploration costs	18,107	462,302
Development costs	<u>216,410</u>	<u>5,635,098</u>
Total costs incurred	<u>\$ 234,517</u>	<u>\$ 6,097,400</u>

The following table includes certain information regarding the results of operations for oil and natural gas producing activities:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Revenues	\$ 3,868,842	\$ 9,106,483
Expenses		
Production expense	3,025,721	3,925,481
Depletion and depreciation	2,417,200	2,626,300
Exploration expense	18,107	462,302
Impairment of oil and natural gas properties	10,057,633	3,085,787
Accretion of discount on asset retirement obligations	<u>106,000</u>	<u>104,000</u>
Total expenses	<u>15,624,661</u>	<u>10,203,870</u>
Income (loss) before income taxes	(11,755,819)	(1,097,387)
Income tax benefit, net of valuation allowance (1)	<u>1,763,373</u>	<u>373,112</u>
Results of operations for oil and natural gas producing activities (excluding corporate overhead and interest costs)	<u>\$ (9,992,446)</u>	<u>\$ (724,275)</u>

(1) Reflects the Company's effective tax rate.

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**14. DISCLOSURES ABOUT OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES (UNAUDITED)**

The following table summarizes changes in the estimates of the Company's net interest in total proved reserves of crude oil and condensate and natural gas and liquids, all of which are domestic reserves. There can be no assurance that such estimates will not be materially revised in subsequent periods.

	<u>Oil (Barrels)</u>	<u>Gas (MCF)</u>
Balance, January 1, 2014	1,020,712	1,989,528
Revisions of previous estimates	(25,391)	(51,075)
Extensions and discoveries	216,930	118,880
Sale of reserves	-	-
Purchase of minerals in place	-	-
Production	<u>(97,630)</u>	<u>(148,086)</u>
Balance, December 31, 2014	<u>1,114,621</u>	<u>1,909,247</u>
Revisions of previous estimates	(631,388)	(996,140)
Extensions and discoveries	-	-
Sale of reserves	-	-
Purchase of minerals in place	-	-
Production	<u>(77,460)</u>	<u>(125,165)</u>
Balance, December 31, 2015	<u><u>405,773</u></u>	<u><u>787,942</u></u>
Proved developed reserves, December 31, 2015	<u><u>405,773</u></u>	<u><u>787,942</u></u>
Proved developed reserves, December 31, 2014	<u><u>937,416</u></u>	<u><u>1,652,014</u></u>

Proved oil and natural gas reserves are the estimated quantities of crude oil, condensate, natural gas and natural gas liquids which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions. Proved developed oil and natural gas reserves are reserves that can be expected to be recovered through existing wells with existing equipment and operating methods. The above estimated net interests in proved reserves are based upon subjective engineering judgments and may be affected by the limitations inherent in such estimation. The process of estimating reserves is subject to continual revision as additional information becomes available as a result of drilling, testing, reservoir studies and production history, and market prices for oil and natural gas. Significant fluctuations in market prices have a direct impact on recoverability and will result in changes in estimated recoverable reserves without regard to actual increases or decreases in reserves in place.

Year Ended December 31, 2014

The average natural gas price used in our proved reserves estimate at December 31, 2014, was \$4.30 per Mcf. The average oil price used in our proved reserves estimate at December 31, 2014, was \$92.89 per barrel. We drilled four wells in the Serbin Taylor field and added reserves for four proved undeveloped wells in the same field during the year ended December 31, 2014, which were the primary reasons for the quantities listed under extensions and discoveries.

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Year Ended December 31, 2015

The average natural gas price used in our proved reserves estimate at December 31, 2015, was \$3.33 per Mcf. The average oil price used in our proved reserves estimate at December 31, 2015, was \$47.06 per barrel. We did not drill any new wells, or purchase or sell any reserves during the year ended December 31, 2015. In addition, we removed the proved undeveloped reserves from our reserves due to intent and ability to develop.

Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows at December 31, 2015 and 2014, relating to proved oil and natural gas reserves is set forth below. The assumptions used to compute the standardized measure are those prescribed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board and, as such, do not necessarily reflect our expectations of actual revenues to be derived from those reserves nor their present worth. The limitations inherent in the reserve quantity estimation process are equally applicable to the standardized measure computations since these estimates are the basis for the valuation process.

The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil and natural gas reserves and the changes in standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil and natural gas reserves were prepared in accordance with prescribed accounting and SEC standards. Future cash inflows were computed by applying the unweighted, arithmetic average of the closing price on the first day of each month for the 12-month period prior to December 31, 2015 and 2014, to estimated future production. Future production and development costs are computed by estimating the expenditures to be incurred in developing and producing the proved oil and natural gas reserves at year end, based on year-end costs and assuming continuation of existing economic conditions.

Future income tax expenses are calculated by applying appropriate year-end tax rates to future pre-tax net cash flows relating to proved oil and natural gas reserves, less the tax basis of properties involved.

Future income tax expenses give effect to permanent differences, tax credits and loss carryforwards relating to the proved oil and natural gas reserves. Future net cash flows are discounted at a rate of 10% annually to derive the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows. This calculation procedure does not necessarily result in an estimate of the fair market value of our oil and natural gas properties.

	Years Ended December 31,	
	(in thousands)	
	2015	2014
Future cash inflows	\$ 22,523	\$ 111,664
Future production costs	(11,778)	(41,322)
Future development cost	(112)	(6,733)
Future income taxes	198	(20,712)
Future net cash flows	10,831	42,897
10% annual discount	(3,820)	(19,908)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	\$ 7,011	\$ 22,989

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following are the principal sources of change in the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows, in thousands:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 22,989	\$ 20,371
Sales of oil and natural gas produced, net of production costs	(843)	(5,181)
Sale of reserves	-	-
Extensions and discoveries	-	6,477
Net changes in prices and production costs	(26,730)	2,139
Net changes in future development costs	6,299	(2,209)
Revisions and other changes	(10,672)	551
Accretion of discount	3,545	3,210
Net change in income taxes	12,423	(2,369)
Balance, end of year	\$ 7,011	\$ 22,989

**15. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (UNAUDITED)**

On February 5, 2016, the Company filed Form S-3 Registration Statement Under the Securities Act of 1933 with the Securities and Exchange Commission in anticipation of an at the market (ATM) offering. The Form S-3 is not yet effective and is pending approval by the SEC. When approved, the Company may offer and sell from time to time up to \$20,000,000 of its shares of common stock, par value \$0.01, warrants, convertible debt securities, debt securities, right or units or any combination of these securities, in one or more transactions on terms to be determined at the time of sale. We are currently negotiating a sales agreement with Euro Pacific Capital in connection with the ATM offering. Under an engagement letter, Euro Pacific earned a \$25,000 fee as the ATM offering advisor as of the S-3 filing date in February 2016.

Our warrants listed on the NYSE MKT as FPP WS expire March 23, 2018. If the warrants trade at sub-penny before that date, the NYSE will immediately suspend and move to delist the warrants. On March 28, 2016, they are trading at \$0.03.

\* \* \* \* \*

**ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

None.

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

- a) Our Principal Executive Officer, Roger D. Bryant, and our Principal Financial Officer, Phillip H. Roberson, have established and are currently maintaining disclosure controls and procedures for the Company. The disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC and to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

On June 9, 2013, the Board of Directors appointed Roger D. Bryant to serve as the Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors and oversee management of the Company. On June 14, 2013, Mr. Phillip H. Roberson was engaged to take operational control of the business, and on July 1, 2013, he was named Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer. On December 10, 2013, Mr. Roberson was promoted to President of the Company.

The Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer conducted a review and evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and have concluded, based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Report, that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms and to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

- b) There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter ended December 31, 2015, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Our principal executive and financial officer do not expect that our disclosure controls or internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. Although our disclosure controls and procedures were designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and our principal executive and financial officer have determined that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at doing so, a control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute assurance that the objectives of the system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented if there exists in an individual a desire to do so. There can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

### **Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company. Internal control over financial reporting refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, and effected by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- 1) Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the Company;
- 2) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the Company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company; and,
- 3) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the Company’s assets that could have a material effect on the Company’s financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has used the framework set forth in the report entitled “Internal Control – Integrated Framework” published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission to evaluate the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Management has concluded that the Company’s internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of the most recent fiscal year.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management’s report was not subject to attestation by the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management’s report in this Form 10-K.

### **ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

### PART III

#### ITEM 10 DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

- (a) Identification of Directors and Executive Officers. The following table sets forth the names and ages of the Directors and Executive Officers of the Company, all positions and offices with the Company held by such person, and the time during which each such person has served:

<u>Name</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Position with Company</u>	<u>Period Served</u>
Roger D. Bryant	73	Principal Executive Officer, Director	June 9, 2013-present July 1997-present
Phillip H. Roberson	47	President, Principal Financial Officer, Director	December 2013-present July 2013-present November 11, 2014 - present
Karl W. Reimers	74	Director	October 2004-present
Dan Robinson	68	Director	August 2004-present
Debra Funderburg	57	Director	February 2006 – November 7, 2014
Nancy Stephenson	62	Director	October 2012 - present

Mr. Bryant serves as Chairman of the Board of the Corporation, and as its principal executive. He has been a Director of the Company since July 1997. For more than thirty years, Mr. Bryant has held senior management positions with public and private companies in a number of different industries. He is currently a Founder and Partner of Co-Partners, LLC, a business consulting firm. Prior positions include Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of Canmax, Inc., a publicly traded software development company, President of Network Data Corporation, President of Dresser Industries, Inc., Wayne Division, President of Schlumberger Limited, Retail Petroleum Systems Division, U.S.A., and President of Autogas Systems, Inc., the developer of “Pay-at-the-Pump” technology for the retail petroleum industry. Mr. Bryant holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of Alabama, and has served on the Board of Directors of more than ten private and public companies. He currently serves on the Board of Directors of two private companies, HOBI International, Inc. and Leopard Mobility, Inc., both in the electronics remanufacturing and distribution business

Mr. Roberson, age 47, was engaged to take operational control of the business on June 14, 2013. He was named Principal Operating Officer and Principal Financial Officer on July 1, 2013. Prior to joining FieldPoint, he was founder of AEG Operating LLC, an independent oil and gas exploration company, where he was instrumental in the funding, acquisition and day to operations of the firm’s operated and non-operated properties. Previously, he served as a director of Energy Investment Banking with Tejas Securities, Inc. where he assisted Exploration & Production and Energy Service companies with debt & equity offerings. Until it was acquired by Tejas Securities, Mr. Roberson was an Equity Analyst with Arabella Securities, LLC, covering Energy and Special Situation companies. Mr. Roberson received a Bachelor of Business Administration in Finance from the University of Texas at Austin and is a licensed Certified Public Accountant.

Mr. Reimers, age 74, has served as a director of the Company since October 2004. Mr. Reimers has held the position of President and CFO of B.A.G. Corp. from 1993 until his retirement in 2010. He served as Vice President and CFO of Supreme Beef Company from 1989 to 1993. He also held the position of Vice

President of Accounting at OKC Corp., a NYSE listed oil and gas company from 1975 to 1989. He was employed by Peat, Marwick, Mitchell, Certified Public Accountants, from 1973 to 1975, and he holds an MBA from the University of Texas at Arlington.

Mr. Robinson, age 68, has served as a director of the Company since August 2004. He has held the position of President and Chief Executive Officer of Placid Refining Company LLC from December 1994 to the present. Prior to his current position, he served in many capacities with Placid Oil Company beginning in March 1975, including the roles of Project Engineer, Manager of Refinery Operations, Assistant Secretary, Assistant Treasurer, Secretary, and Treasurer. Before beginning his 40 year oil and gas career he was briefly employed as a commercial credit analyst at First National Bank in Dallas. Mr. Robinson received a BS degree in Mechanical Engineering in 1971 and an MBA degree in Finance in 1973, both from the University of Wisconsin. He currently sits on the Board of Directors of the American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers (AFPM).

Debra Funderburg, age 57, was a director of the Company from February 6, 2006 to November 7, 2014, when she tendered her resignation. From August 2010 to November 2014 she served as Vice President Reservoir Engineering for Magnum Hunter Resources Corp. From September 2007 to August 2010 she served as Vice President of Business Development for Sanchez Oil & Gas. From May 2003 to August 2007 she has served as Senior Reservoir Engineer, Corporate A&D coordinator and Business Development manager for Dominion E&P. From November 1999 to May 2003 Ms. Funderburg held the position of Reservoir Engineering Manager for Randall & Dewey. From April 1993 to November 1999 she was employed by Pennzoil as a Senior Petroleum Engineer.

Nancy Stephenson, age 62, has been a Director of the Company since October 2011. From August 2011 to August 2012 she served as Chief Accounting Officer, Treasurer and Secretary of Cross Border Resources Inc. (XBOR) and served as Assistant Controller at Forge Energy, LLC, a private company, from January 2014 through March 2015. Ms. Stephenson is semi-retired and does occasional consulting engagements. Ms. Stephenson has over 30 years of accounting experience, primarily in publicly traded companies in the energy business. From March 2003 to February 2010, she served as Compliance Reporting Manager for TXCO Resources Inc. (TXCO). For both XBOR and TXCO she prepared financial statements and was responsible for periodic reporting compliance with the SEC. Since March 2010, she has provided consulting services relating to periodic reporting with the SEC on a project basis for various companies. Ms. Stephenson holds a BBA in Accounting from the University of Houston and is a Certified Public Accountant.

No family relationship exists between any director or executive officer.

There are no material proceedings to which any director, officer or affiliate of the Company, any owner of record or beneficially of more than five percent (5%) of any class of voting securities of the Company, or any associate of any such director, officer, affiliate of the Company, or security holder is a party adverse to the Company or any of its subsidiaries or has a material interest adverse to the Company or any of its subsidiaries.

During the last ten (10) years no director or officer of the Company has:

- a. had any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time;
- b. been convicted in a criminal proceeding or subject to a pending criminal proceeding;
- c. been subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; or
- d. been found by a court of competent jurisdiction in a civil action, the Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated.

Any transactions between the Company and its officers, directors, principal shareholders, or other affiliates have been and will be on terms no less favorable to the Company than the Board of Directors believes could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties on an arms-length basis and will be approved by a majority of the Company's independent, outside disinterested directors.

### **Meetings and Committees of the Board of Directors**

#### a. Meetings of the Board of Directors

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, five meetings of the Board of Directors were held, including regularly scheduled and special meetings, each of which were attended by all of the directors. Meetings are conducted either in person or by telephone conference.

At the December 6, 2013, meeting, the Board approved the Compensation Committee's recommendation to restructure Board compensation effective for 2014. The structure increased the fee for in-person meetings to \$1,000 and provides for fees to compensate committee chairmen. Each board member received approximately \$39,500 for their service during 2014. Roger Bryant was paid \$10,000 per month plus meeting fees as Executive Chairman. Each board member received approximately \$27,000 for their service during 2015 and Roger Bryant was paid \$91,000 as Executive Chairman. At the October 23, 2015, meeting the Board reaffirmed and ratified its previously adopted action to provide annual cash retainers of \$120,000 per year for Roger Bryant, and \$30,000 per year for all non-executive directors (which shall be in addition to Committee fees), and \$1,000 for each in-person meeting effective January 1, 2016. However, it also adopted a measure to temporarily accept a voluntary reduction in Roger Bryant's annual retainer to \$90,000 per year, and to temporarily accept a voluntary reduction of the annual cash retainer to \$15,000 for all non-executive directors, and to temporarily accept a voluntary reduction to \$500.00 per in-person meeting until such time as economic conditions shall improve, provided that each and all of these voluntary reductions shall be retroactively reinstated and payable in the case of (and only in the case of) a Change of Control Event. All voluntary reductions shall be temporarily effective as of January 1, 2016 and shall continue until such time as the Board determines that they shall cease.

b. Committees

The Board appoints committees to help carry out its duties. In particular, Board committees work on key issues in greater detail than would be possible at full Board meetings. Each committee reviews the result of its meetings with the full Board.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Board had a standing Audit Committee, a standing Compensation Committee, and a standing Nomination Committee.

*Audit Committee*

The Audit Committee was composed of the following directors:

Nancy Stephenson, Chairperson  
Karl W. Reimers  
Dan Robinson

The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Reimers, Robinson, and Ms. Stephenson are "independent" within the meaning of the NYSE MKT, LLC's listing standards and Item 407(a) of Regulation S-K. For this purpose, an Audit Committee member is deemed to be independent if he or she does not possess any vested interests related to those of management and does not have any financial, family or other material personal ties to management. On April 2, 2013, Nancy Stephenson replaced Karl Reimers as Chairperson. Roger Bryant served on the Audit Committee prior to his election as Executive Chairman of the Board on June 9, 2013, when he was no longer considered independent while serving as Executive Chairman. Karl Reimers and Nancy Stephenson, each a member of the Audit Committee, qualify as an "audit committee financial expert" within the meaning of Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, the Audit Committee had four meetings. The committee is responsible for accounting and internal control matters. The Audit Committee:

- reviews with management, the external consultants and the independent auditors policies and procedures with respect to internal controls;
- reviews significant accounting matters;
- approves any significant changes in accounting principles of financial reporting practices;
- reviews independent auditor services; and
- recommends to the Board of Directors the firm of independent auditors to audit our consolidated financial statements.

In addition to its regular activities, the committee is available to meet with the independent accountants, external consultants whenever a special situation arises.

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has adopted a written charter, which has been previously filed with the Commission.

#### *Audit Committee Report*

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management and with Hein & Associates LLP and the matters required to be discussed by AU Section 380. The Audit Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Hein & Associates LLP required by Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1 and has discussed with them their independence. Based on the review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, for filing with the Commission.

*By the Audit Committee  
Nancy Stephenson  
Karl Reimers  
Dan Robinson*

#### *Compensation Advisory Committee*

The Compensation Advisory Committee is currently composed of the following directors:

Dan Robinson, Chairman  
Karl Reimers  
Nancy Stephenson

The Board of Directors has determined that Messrs. Robinson, Reimers and Stephenson are "independent" within the meaning of the NYSE MKT, LLC's listing standards and Item 407(a) of Regulation S-K. For this purpose, a Compensation Committee member is deemed to be independent if he does not possess any vested interests related to those of management and does not have any financial, family or other material personal ties to management. Nancy Stephenson replaced Debra Funderburg as a member of the Compensation Committee effective November 7, 2014.

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015, the Compensation Advisory Committee had one meeting. The Compensation Advisory Committee:

- Recommends to the Board of Directors the compensation and cash bonus opportunities based on the achievement of objectives set by the Compensation Advisory Committee with respect to our Chairman of the Board and President, our Chief Executive Officer and the other executive officers;
- administers our compensation plans for the same executives;
- determines equity compensation for all employees;
- reviews and approves the cash compensation and bonus objectives for the executive officers; and

- reviews various matters relating to employee compensation and benefits.

#### *Nomination Committee*

The Nomination Committee was composed of the following directors:

Karl Reimers, Chairman  
Nancy Stephenson  
Dan Robinson

The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Reimers, Mrs. Stephenson and Mr. Robinson are “independent” within the meaning of the NYSE MKT, LLC's listing standards and Item 407(a) of Regulation S-K. For this purpose, a director is deemed to be independent if he does not possess any vested interests related to those of management and does not have any financial, family or other material personal ties to management. The committee had one meeting in 2015. Mrs. Stephenson replaced Mrs. Funderburg who resigned from the Board effective November 7, 2014. Dan Robinson was added as a member of the Nomination Committee effective November 7, 2014.

The Board of Directors has not adopted a policy with regard to the consideration of any director candidates recommended by security holders, since to date the Board has not received from any security holder a director nominee recommendation. The Board of Directors will consider candidates recommended by security holders in the future. Security holders wishing to recommend a director nominee for consideration should contact Mr. Phillip H. Roberson, President, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer, at the Company's principal executive offices located in Austin, Texas and provide to Mr. Roberson, in writing, the recommended director nominee's professional resume covering all activities during the past five years, the information required by Item 401 of Regulation S-X, and a statement of the reasons why the security holder is making the recommendation. Such recommendation must be received by year end for consideration in the next year's elections.

The Board of Directors believes that any director nominee must possess significant experience in business and/or financial matters as well as a particular interest in the Company's activities.

All director nominees identified in this proxy statement were recommended by our President and Chief Financial Officer and unanimously approved by the Board of Directors.

## **Shareholder Communications**

Any shareholder of the Company wishing to communicate to the Board of Directors may do so by sending written communication to the Board of Directors to the attention of Mr. Roger Bryant, Principal Executive Officer, or Mr. Phillip Roberson, Chief Financial Officer, at the principal executive offices of the Company. The Board of Directors will consider any such written communication at its next regularly scheduled meeting.

Any transactions between the Company and its officers, directors, principal shareholders, or other affiliates have been and will be on terms no less favorable to the Company than could be obtained from unaffiliated third parties on an arms-length basis and will be approved by a majority of the Company's independent, outside disinterested directors.

## **Code of Ethics**

Our Board of Directors adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for all of our directors, officers and employees during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics can be found at our website address: <http://www.fppcorp.com>. We will provide to any person without charge, upon request, a copy of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. Such request should be made in writing and addressed to Investor Relations, FieldPoint Petroleum Corporation, 609 Castle Ridge Road, Suite 335, Austin, Texas 78746. Further, our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is filed as an exhibit to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2003.

## **COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 16(a) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT**

Section 16 (a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires the Company's executive officers, directors and persons who own more than ten percent of the Common Stock (collectively, "Reporting Persons") to file initial reports of ownership and changes of ownership of the Common Stock with the SEC and the NYSE Amex. Reporting Persons are required to furnish the Company with copies of all forms that they file under Section 16(a). Based solely upon our search of publicly available information or information provided to the Company from Reporting Persons, during the two years ended December 31, 2014, the Company is not aware of any failure on the part of any Reporting Persons to timely file reports required pursuant to Section 16(a).

## **ITEM 11 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

### **COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

**Introduction.** This Compensation Discussion and Analysis (“CD&A”) provides an overview of the Company’s executive compensation program together with a description of the material factors underlying the decisions which resulted in the compensation provided for 2015 to the Company’s “Named Executive Officers” (or “NEOs”), as presented in the tables which follow this CD&A. The following discussion and analysis contains statements regarding future individual and Company performance targets and goals. These targets and goals are disclosed in the limited context of the Company’s compensation programs and should not be understood to be statements of management’s expectations or estimates of financial results or other guidance. The Company specifically cautions investors not to apply these statements to other contexts.

**Compensation Committee.** The Compensation Committee (the “Committee”) of the Board of Directors is composed of three non-employee directors, all of whom are independent under the guidelines of the NYSE MKT listing standards. The current Committee members are Dan Robinson, Karl Reimers and Nancy Stephenson. The Committee has responsibility for determining and implementing the Company’s philosophy with respect to executive compensation. To implement this philosophy, the Committee oversees the establishment and administration of the Company’s executive compensation program. Nancy Stephenson replaced Debra Funderburg as a member of the Compensation Committee effective November 7, 2014.

**Compensation Philosophy and Objectives.** The guiding principle of the Committee’s executive compensation philosophy is that the executive compensation program should enable the Company to attract, retain and motivate a team of highly qualified executives who will create long-term value for the shareholders. To achieve this objective, the Committee has developed an executive compensation program that is ownership-oriented and that rewards the attainment of specific annual, long-term and strategic goals that will result in improvement in total shareholder return. To that end, the Committee believes that the executive compensation program should include both cash and equity-based compensation that rewards specific performance. In addition, the Committee continually monitors the effectiveness of the program to ensure that the compensation provided to executives remains competitive relative to the compensation paid to executives in a peer group comprised of select container industry and other manufacturing companies. The Committee annually evaluates the components of the compensation program as well as the desired mix of compensation among these components. The Committee believes that a substantial portion of the compensation paid to the Company’s NEOs should be at risk, contingent on the Company’s operating and market performance. Consistent with this philosophy, the Committee will continue to place significant emphasis on stock-based compensation and performance measures, in an effort to more closely align compensation with shareholder interests and to increase executives’ focus on the Company’s long-term performance.

**Committee Process.** The Committee meets as often as necessary to perform its duties and responsibilities. The Committee usually meets with the Executive Chairman and the President and CFO. In addition, the Committee periodically meets in executive session without management.

The Committee's meeting agenda is normally established by the Committee Chairperson in consultation with the Executive Chairman and the President and CFO. Committee members receive and review materials in advance of each meeting. Depending on the meeting's agenda, such materials may include: financial reports regarding the Company's performance, reports on achievement of individual and corporate objectives, reports detailing executives' stock ownership and options, tally sheets setting forth total compensation and information regarding the compensation programs and levels of certain peer group companies.

**Role of Executive Officers in Compensation Decisions.** The Committee makes all compensation decisions for the NEOs. Decisions regarding the compensation of other employees are made by the Executive Chairman and the President and CFO in consultation with the Committee. In this regard, the NEOs provide the Committee evaluations of executive performance, business goals and objectives and recommendations regarding salary levels and equity awards.

**Market-Based Compensation Strategy.** The Committee adopted the following market-based compensation strategy:

- Pay levels are evaluated and calibrated relative to other companies of comparable size operating in the oil and gas exploration business (the "Peer Group") as the primary market reference point. In addition, general industry data is reviewed as an additional market reference and to ensure robust competitive data.
- Target total direct compensation (target total cash compensation plus the annualized expected value of long-term incentives) levels for NEOs are calibrated relative to the Peer Group.
- Base salary and target total cash compensation levels (base salary plus target annual incentive) for NEOs are calibrated to the Peer Group.
- The long-term incentive component of the executive compensation program is discretionary and viewed in light of the target total direct compensation level.

The Committee retains discretion, however, to vary compensation above or below the targeted percentile based upon each NEO's experience, responsibilities and performance.

### **Total Direct Compensation**

Our objective is to target total direct compensation, consisting of cash salary, cash bonus and long term equity compensation at levels consistent with the surveyed companies, if specified corporate and business unit performance metrics and individual performance objectives are met. We selected this target for compensation to remain competitive in attracting and retaining talented executives. Many of our competitors are significantly larger and have financial resources greater than our own. The competition for experienced, technically proficient executive talent in the oil and gas industry is currently particularly acute, as companies seek to draw from a limited pool of such executives to explore for and develop hydrocarbons that increasingly are in more remote areas and are technologically more difficult to access.

**Components of Compensation.** For the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the largest component of compensation for the Officers of the Company was base salary. We did provide additional compensation in the form of a cash incentive bonus to the Executive Chairman and the President/CFO in 2013 and a stock bonus to the President/CFO in 2014.

**Base Salary.** The Company provides the NEOs with base salaries to compensate them for services rendered during the year. The Committee believes that competitive salaries must be paid in order to attract and retain high quality executives. The Committee reviews the NEO's salaries at the end of each year, with any adjustments to base salary becoming effective on January 1 of the succeeding year.

In determining base salary level for executive officers, the committee considers the following qualitative and quantitative factors:

- job level and responsibilities,
- relevant experience,
- individual performance,
- recent corporate performance.

We review base salaries annually, but we do not necessarily award salary increases each year. From time to time base salaries may be adjusted other than as a result of an annual review, in order to address competitive pressures or in connection with a promotion.

Base salaries paid to the NEOs are deductible for federal income tax purposes except to the extent that the executive's aggregate compensation which is subject to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code") exceeds \$1 million.

The Company entered into an "at will" employment agreement with Phillip Roberson as President and CFO for a three year period beginning July 1, 2014. As a signing bonus, Mr. Roberson is entitled to receive a total of 50,000 shares of common stock, of which 10,000 shares were immediately vested. Ten thousand shares will be received and vested at each of the six month, twelve month, eighteen month, and twenty four month anniversary dates of the commencement date. Once the signing bonus grant has been fully vested and paid, Mr. Roberson will be entitled to receive, as part of his annual compensation, on his third anniversary date 5,000 shares, on his fourth anniversary date 6,000 shares, on his fifth anniversary date 7,000 shares, on his sixth anniversary date 8,000 shares, on his seventh anniversary date 9,000 shares, and each annual anniversary date thereafter 10,000 shares. Beginning January 1, 2015, the Board of Directors may in its sole discretion award an annual Performance Based Bonus Award to Mr. Roberson.

The following tables and discussion set forth information with respect to all plan and non-plan compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to the Company's four (4) most highly compensated executive officers, for all services rendered in all capacities to the Company and its subsidiaries for each of the Company's last three (3) completed fiscal years; provided, however, that no disclosure has been made for any executive officer, other than the CEO, whose total annual salary and bonus does not exceed \$100,000.

### SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus	Stock Awards	Options Awards	Non equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	Total
Phillip H. Roberson, President, and CFO	2015	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 30,300	-	-	-	\$ 6,000 <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 236,300
Phillip H. Roberson, President, and CFO	2014	\$ 200,000	\$ -	\$ 55,000	-	-	-	-	\$ 255,000
Phillip H. Roberson, President, and CFO	2013	\$ 75,000	\$ 35,000	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 110,000
Ray D. Reaves, CEO, President	2013	\$ 140,000	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 140,000

(1) Automobile allowance

#### **Bonus Plan**

In 2008, the Company's Board of Directors adopted a Performance Based Bonus Program for the President and CEO (the "Bonus Plan"). Under the Bonus Plan, the President can earn an annual bonus based upon four parameters: (i) annual reserve additions from drilling and acquisitions as measured by the Board approved Annual Business Plan ("Business Plan") ("Reserve Bonus"), (ii) growth in annual production as measured by the Business Plan, ("Production Bonus") (iii) growth in annual year over year earnings before taxes and bonus ("EBBT")("Earnings Bonus"), and (iv) other notable achievements as determined by the Board ("Achievement Bonus").

To earn any of the Reserve Bonus, Production Bonus or Earnings Bonus, the Company's performance must exceed the goal or target set by the Board in the Business Plan. If actual reserve additions for the year exceed the Business Plan target, a bonus will be paid equal to the percentage that the actual reserve additions bears to the total reserves reported in the previous year's Annual Report on Form 10-K (the "Prior 10-K"), not to exceed 50% of Base Salary. If actual production for the year exceeds the Business Plan target, a bonus will be paid equal to the percentage that the actual production bears to the total production reported in the Prior 10-K, not to exceed 50% of Base Salary. If actual EBBT for the year exceeds the Business Plan target, a bonus will be paid equal to the percentage that actual EBBT bears to EBBT as reported in the Prior 10-K, not to exceed 50% of Base Salary. The Achievement Bonus is discretionary with the Board and cannot exceed 10% of Base Salary. The maximum cumulative bonus payable in any given year may not exceed 150% of Base Salary. This Bonus Plan was only applicable to the former CEO Ray Reaves and no bonus was paid under the Plan since 2012.

The following table sets forth information concerning unexercised options, stock that has not vested and equity incentive plan awards for each named executive officer outstanding as of the end of the most recently completed fiscal year:

**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END TABLE**

Name	Option Awards				Stock Awards				
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options Unexercisable	Equity Incentive Plan Awards; Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options	Option Exercise Price	Option Exercise Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	Market Value of Shares of Units That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards; Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards; Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested
Roger Bryant	- 0 -	- 0 -	-	-	-	- 0 -	-	-	-
Phillip Roberson	- 0 -	- 0 -	-	-	-	20,000	\$12,400	-	-

The following table sets forth information concerning compensation paid to the Company's directors during the most recently completed fiscal year:

**DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE**

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	Total
Roger Bryant	\$ 91,000	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 91,000
Karl Reimers	\$ 26,000	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 26,000
Dan Robinson	\$ 26,000	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 26,000
Nancy Stephenson	\$ 28,500	-	-	-	-	-	\$ 28,500
Phillip Roberson	\$ -	-	-	-	-	-	\$ -

## Option Grants Table

There were no stock option grants for fiscal years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014.

## ITEM 12 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The following table sets forth information with respect to beneficial ownership of our common stock by:

- \* each person who beneficially owns more than 5% of the common stock;
- \* each of our executive officers named in the Management section;
- \* each of our directors; and
- \* all executive officers and directors as a group.

The table shows the number of shares owned as of March 26, 2016 and the percentage of outstanding common stock owned as of March 26, 2016. Each person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown, except as noted.

<u>Name and Address Of Beneficial Owner</u> <sup>(2)</sup>	<u>Amount and Nature of Beneficial Owner</u>	<u>Percent of Class</u> <sup>(1)</sup>
Estate of Ray D. Reaves <sup>(3)</sup> 2352007 Ontario Inc. and Natale Rea (2013) Family Trust	2,899,985	32.6%
9200 Weston Rd., Piazza Villagio, P.O. Box 92030, Vaughan, Ontario L4H3J3 Canada	653,392	7.3%
Roger D. Bryant	34,000	*
Dan Robinson	96,000	1.1%
Karl Reimers	58,100	*
Nancy Stephenson	2,500	*
Phillip Roberson	40,000	*
All Officers and Directors as a Group (5 persons)	230,600	2.6%

\* indicates less than 1%

<sup>(1)</sup> The percentages shown are calculated based upon 8,890,101 shares of common stock issued and outstanding at March 26, 2016. In calculating the percentage of ownership, unless as otherwise indicated, all shares of common stock that the identified person or group had the right to acquire within 60 days of the date of this Annual Report upon the exercise of options and warrants or conversion of notes are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of shares of common stock owned by such person or group, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of the shares of common stock owned by any other person.

(2) Unless otherwise stated, the beneficial owner's address is 609 Castle Ridge Road, Suite 335, Austin, Texas 78746.

(3) Includes warrants exercisable to purchase 309,415 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$4.00 per share.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.**

The Company leased office space from the estate of its majority shareholder in 2013. The lease required monthly payments of \$2,500 on a month to month basis. The lease was cancelled in 2014.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company incurred expenses of \$102,562 to a relative of a Board member for petroleum engineering services. There were no comparable payments during the year ended December 31, 2015.

**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

In the last two fiscal years, we have retained Hein & Associates LLP ("Hein") as our independent registered public accounting firm. Hein audited our consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2015 and 2014. We understand the need for our principal accountants to maintain objectivity and independence in their audit of our financial statements. To minimize relationships that could appear to impair the objectivity of our principal accountants, our Audit Committee has restricted the non-audit services that our principal accountants may provide to us primarily to tax services and audit related services. The Board has adopted policies and procedures for pre-approving work performed by our principal accountants.

After careful consideration, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has determined that payment of the below audit fees is in conformance with the independent status of the Company's principal independent accountants. Before engaging the auditors in additional services, the Audit Committee considers how these services will impact the entire engagement and independence factors.

The following is an aggregate of fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by our principal accountants:

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2014</u>
Audit fees - audit of annual financial statements and review of financial statements included in our quarterly reports, services normally provided by the accountant in connection with statutory and regulatory filings.	\$ 137,300	\$ 135,100
Audit-related fees - related to the performance of audit or review of financial statements not reported under "audit fees" above	-	-
Tax fees - tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning	31,800	35,300
All other fees - services provided by our principal accountants other than those identified above	-	-
Total fees paid or accrued to our principal accountants	<u>\$ 169,100</u>	<u>\$ 170,400</u>

## **ITEM 15 EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

### **(a) Exhibits**

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-2 dated August 1, 1980.)
- 3.2(b) Articles of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation, dated December 31, 1997 (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10KSB for the year ended December 31, 1997.)
- 3.3 Bylaws (incorporated by reference to Amendment No. 1 to Form S-2 dated August 1, 1980.)
- 4.1 Plan of Exchange (incorporated by reference to the Company's definitive proxy statement dated December 8, 1997).
- 4.2 Indenture (Term Loan) dated June 21, 1999 by and among the Company and Union Planters Bank (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10KSB for the year ended December 31, 1999.)
- 4.3 Indenture (Term Loan) dated August 18, 1999 by and among the Company and Union Planters Bank (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10KSB for the year ended December 31, 1999.)
- 4.4. Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form S-8 dated May 27, 2005 as filed with the Commission on May 27, 2005.)
- 4.5 Warrant Agreement and Form of Warrant Certificate (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form S-3 as filed with the Commission on November 22, 2011.)
- 10.1 Consulting Agreement dated May 9, 2000 between FieldPoint Petroleum Corp. and Parrish Brian & Co. (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10QSB/A for the quarter ended September 30, 2000)
- 10.2 Executive Employment Agreement, dated March 28, 2001, by and among FieldPoint Petroleum Corp. and Ray D. Reaves (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10KSB for the year ended December 31, 2000.)
- 10.3 Credit Agreement (Revolving Credit Note) dated December 14, 2000 by and among FieldPoint Petroleum Corp. and Union Planters Bank (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10KSB for the year ended December 31, 2000.)
- 10.4 Audit Committee Charter adopted by the Company on March 28, 2001 (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10KSB for the year ended December 31, 2000.)
- 10.5 Consulting Agreement dated November 13, 2001 between FieldPoint Petroleum Corp. and TRG Group LLC. (incorporated by reference to the Company's 10QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2001)

- 10.6 Loan and Security Agreement with CitiBank, N.A., dated October 18, 2006 (incorporated by reference from the Company's current report on Form 8k dated October 18, 2006 as filed with the Commission on October 20, 2006.)
- 10.7 Lease Assignment from PXP Gulf Coast, Inc., dated March 11, 2004, (incorporated by reference from the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 11, 2004, as filed with the Commission on March 26, 2004.)
- 10.8 Securities Purchase Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form SB-2 dated September 20, 2005 as filed with the Commission on September 20, 2005.)
- 10.9 Registration Rights Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form S-8 dated May 27, 2005 as filed with the Commission on May 27, 2005.)
- 10.10 Stock Purchase Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 6, 2006 as filed with the Commission on February 9, 2006.)
- 10.11 Board Compensation Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated February 6, 2006 as filed with the Commission on February 9, 2006.)
- 10.12 Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 18, 2006 as filed with the Commission on October 20, 2006).
- 10.13 Bonus Program (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated October 24, 2008 as filed with the Commission on October 29, 2008.)
- 10.14 Guaranty Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2009 as filed with the Commission on November 16, 2009.)
- 10.15 First Amendment to Loan & Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2009 as filed with the Commission on November 16, 2009.)
- 10.16 Second Amendment to Loan & Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2009 as filed with the Commission on November 16, 2009.)
- 10.17 Third Amendment to Loan & Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2009 as filed with the Commission on November 16, 2009.)
- 10.18 Fourth Amendment to Loan & Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q dated September 30, 2009 as filed with the Commission on November 16, 2009.)
- 10.19 Fifth Amendment to Loan & Security Agreement (incorporated by reference to the Company's Form 8-K dated March 21, 2014, as filed with the Commission on March 21, 2014.)

- 10.20 Executive Employment Agreement dated July 1, 2014, between FieldPoint Petroleum Corp. and Phillip H. Roberson (incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 as filed with the Commission on March 30, 2015)
- 14. Code of Ethics (incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2003 as filed with the Commission on April 14, 2004.)
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer required by Section 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act.
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Operating Officer and Principal Financial Officer required by Section 13a-14(a) of the Exchange Act.
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.2 Certification of Principal Operating Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 99.1 Evaluation of Oil and Gas Reserves by Joe C. Neal & Associates
- 99.2 Letter Report and Certificate of Qualification of Joe C. Neal & Associates

**SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**FIELDPOINT PETROLEUM CORPORATION**  
(Registrant)

Date: March 30, 2016

By: /s/ Roger D. Bryant  
Roger D. Bryant, Principal Executive Officer

Date: March 30, 2016

By: /s/ Phillip H. Roberson  
Phillip H. Roberson, Principal Financial Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ Roger D. Bryant  
Roger D. Bryant  
Principal Executive Officer,  
Director

Date: March 30, 2016

By: /s/ Phillip H. Roberson  
Phillip H. Roberson  
President,  
Principal Operating Officer, Principal Financial Officer,  
and Director

Date: March 30, 2016

By: /s/ Dan Robinson  
Dan Robinson  
Director

Date: March 30, 2016

By: /s/ Karl W. Reimers  
Karl W. Reimers  
Director

Date: March 30, 2016

By: /s/ Nancy Stephenson  
Nancy Stephenson  
Director

Date: March 30, 2016